



CITY COUNCIL AGENDA
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

THESE PROCEEDINGS ARE BEING PRESENTED LIVE ON KCLV, CABLE CHANNEL 2. THE PROCEEDINGS WILL BE REBROADCAST ON KCLV CHANNEL 2 THE WEDNESDAY OF THE MEETING AT 8:00 PM AND ARE ALSO REBROADCAST ON FRIDAY AT 4:00 AM, SATURDAY AT 7:00 PM, SUNDAY AT 7:00 AM AND THE FOLLOWING MONDAY AT 10:00 AM.

- CALL TO ORDER
- ANNOUNCEMENT RE: COMPLIANCE WITH OPEN MEETING LAW
- INVOCATION – REVEREND CATHY GRIMES, FIRST CHRISTIAN CHURCH
- PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

MINUTES:

PRESENT: MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCIL MEMBERS, REESE, M. McDONALD, BROWN, L.B. McDONALD, WEEKLY, and MACK

Also Present: CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE, CITY ATTORNEY BRAD JERBIC, ASSISTANT CITY ATTORNEY JOHN REDLEIN (A.M. Session), DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT, DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY TOM GREEN (P.M. Session), and CITY CLERK BARBARA JO RONEMUS

ANNOUNCEMENT MADE - Meeting noticed and posted at the following locations:

Downtown Transportation Center, City Clerk's Board
Senior Citizens Center, 450 E. Bonanza Road
Clark County Government Center, 500 S. Grand Central Pkwy
Court Clerk's Bulletin Board, City Hall
City Hall Plaza, Posting Board

(9:05)

1-1

REVEREND CATHY GRIMES, First Christian Church, gave the invocation.

(9:05 – 9:06)

1-19

MAYOR GOODMAN led the audience in the Pledge.

(9:06 – 9:07)

1-45

MAYOR GOODMAN indicated that students from Vail Pittman Elementary School would be sitting with the Council members for a portion of the meeting to see how government works.

(9:23 – 9:24)

1-570

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

EMPLOYEE OF THE MONTH

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN, the COUNCIL MEMBERS, JOSEPH MARCELLA, Director, Information Technologies Department, joined in recognizing and congratulating CAROL MEYER for being selected February's Employee of the Month for her positive leadership of the Microcomputer Support Group, which handles concerns with computerized software at the City. She has managed to implement new concepts in service to her internal customers and is responsible for the decrease in response time to City employees. Her attitude and innovation in establishing a common database for all computer installations, the standardization of computer software, and initiating the "Tech of the Month" program also helped increase staff morale. MAYOR GOODMAN read the plaque that was presented to MS. MEYER.

MS. MEYER expressed her appreciation, especially to her staff for contributing to her success. MR. MARCELLA read comments of praise from one of MS. MEYER's employees.

(9:07 – 9:10)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

RECOGNITION OF READING ACROSS AMERICA

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

In support of children's educational growth through reading, MAYOR GOODMAN stated that reading provides a solid foundation to students' education and is profoundly important to their scholastic pursuits and achieving their academic goals. Reading opens the door to a variety of subjects that children will study throughout their primary and secondary education and it will ultimately enhance the rest of their lives. The City Council acknowledges the importance of reading and celebrates with the Clark County Education Association, the Nevada State Education Association and the National Education Association by recognizing and promoting "Reading Across America and Nevada Reading Week." He noted that his wife always says that having the ability to read empowers people to become whatever they desire.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY presented a proclamation to SUE STRAND, President, Clark County Education Association, in advocacy of Reading Across America. He indicated 77 City employees have volunteered to read at various schools throughout the community. He noted that a celebration would be held on February 23, 2001, in honor of Dr. Seuss' 97th Birthday.

MS. STRAND thanked the Council and the students from Vail Pittman Elementary School. She stated that the presentation could not have come at a better time and surely the students would enjoy the meeting.

(9:10 – 9:12)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

PROCLAMATION FOR TAXI DRIVER AWARENESS DAY

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD made a special presentation and presented a proclamation to BOB FLAVIN, Nevada Taxicab Authority, declaring February 21, 2001, as Taxi Driver Awareness Day. This proclamation is to bring attention to the seriousness of the acts of violence that are being committed against local taxicab drivers. She urged the citizens of Las Vegas to call 911 immediately upon seeing the green lights of a taxicab flashing, which means that the driver is in a distress situation and may need help.

MR. FLAVIN expressed his appreciation on behalf of the 4,422 cab drivers in the community. He noted that the taxicab drivers have a right to feel safe in their profession; therefore, he too urged the citizens to pay attention to the green flashing lights on a cab and call 911, because it could save a life. He noted that it really works. The last person that called in a flashing green light on a cab was a person in a helicopter and the people in the cab went to jail.

ROSANNE ZOROTTI explained that she started the flashing green light campaign to promote awareness of what to do when the green lights flash. She indicated that there were some green ribbons in the Council Chambers at each entry for the citizens to tie them on their car antennas in awareness of cab driver safety.

(9:14 – 9:18)

1-290

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC AFFAIRS

DIRECTOR: DAVID RIGGLEMAN

☐

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

CEREMONIAL:

PRESENTATION OF MEDAL OF HONOR

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY honored the heroic efforts of JAMES GRACE and ALVIN ROBERTSON, who could not be present, for aiding the victims of a house fire. They were in a neighborhood in Ward 5 and noticed that a home was on fire at about 2:00 a.m. in the morning. They pulled off the safety bars and, unfortunately, one elderly gentleman died in the fire, but two people were saved. He noted that it is so important that people show random acts of kindness as these two gentlemen did. MR. GRACE arrived and accepted the City's Medal of Honor, and expressed his appreciation, and wished everyone a nice day.

(9:12 – 9:14/9:22 – 9:23)

1-247/1-533

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001 Ceremonial

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that on Valentine's Day he, MAYOR GOODMAN, and COUNCILMAN REESE participated in a special event in conjunction with the Stratosphere Hotel and delivered over 1,350 cookies to three different charitable organizations: Catholic Charities, Arthur McCant Senior Complex, and M.A.S.H. Village Crisis Intervention Center. Through this very simple gesture, the Stratosphere Hotel brightened the day of a number of less fortunate individuals. On behalf of the City Council he invited MICHAEL GILMARTIN, Stratosphere Hotel/Casino, to accept a certificate of appreciation.

COUNCILMAN REESE thanked MR. GILMARTIN for giving him the opportunity to assist the seniors. He felt proud to associate with representatives of the Stratosphere Hotel.

On behalf of CHUCK DAVIDSON, Director of Marketing, Stratosphere Hotel and himself, MR. GILMARTIN thanked the Mayor and Council for being involved and advised that the Council members would be contacted to assist with charities at Easter time. He commented that during the deliveries, a gentleman at M.A.S.H. who was looking for a job approached him and is now in the computer system at the Stratosphere and they are trying to find him employment. Stratosphere representatives are also working with the Health District about donating excess food to the local charities.

At the request of COUNCILMAN REESE, MR. GILMARTIN explained that the new owner, CARL ICAHN, of the Stratosphere Hotel/Casino is trying to make it what it was originally intended to be. This July, construction will commence on the addition of 1,002 additional rooms, 350-seat coffee shop, and an amphitheater. MR. DAVIDSON said that the Stratosphere Hotel is very unique and is the most sought after attraction in the city. He looks forward to being able to do many more charitable acts with the City Council.

(9:18 – 9:22)

1-408

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Any items from the morning session that the Council, staff, and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 31, 65, 66, 67, 68, and 69 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 75 to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE announced that Item IV-F of the Redevelopment Agency Agenda was requested by staff to be held in abeyance until 3/7/2001.

There was no further discussion.

(9:24 – 9:26)

1-638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BUSINESS ITEMS:

Approval of the Final Minutes by reference of the Regular City Council Meeting of January 17, 2001 and Special City Council Meeting (Strategic Planning Session) of January 29, 2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED by Reference - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(9:26 – 9:27)

1-680

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount:

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Accounting Operations

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

In compliance with the City's Municipal Code, Chapter 4.12, this is an informational item that provides the dollar amount of disbursements processed by the Finance and Business Services Department.

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Summary of cash expenditures for the period 01/01/01 - 01/15/01

Total Services and Materials Checks	\$ 12,921,867.36
Total Payroll Checks	\$ 4,503,112.91
Total Wire Transfers	\$ 16,298,692.77
Other Checks and Investments	\$ 343,380.02

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN MACK noted that the Real Estate Committee met and reviewed all of the Real Estate items on the Consent Agenda and joins with the recommendation of staff that each item be approved by the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 3 – Service and Material Checks/Payroll Checks/Wire/Transfers/Other Checks and Investments

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK and MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services, requested that items 50, 54, and 27, respectively, be brought forward.

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Acceptance of a report by the City Treasurer of the January 30, 2001 sale of properties subject to the lien of a delinquent assessment in certain districts

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

NRS 271.565 states that within 15 days after the completion of the sale of all property described in the assessment roll upon which a delinquent assessment or installment is unpaid, the municipal treasurer shall prepare a statement of his actions concerning the sale, showing all the property sold by him, to whom sold and the sums paid for each tract. Such report shall be presented to the governing body at its regular meeting next following the preparation of the statement.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only, no action required.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Report of Sale Memorandum from Michael K. Olson, City Treasurer, dated February 2, 2000

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. and Licensing regulations, Maria Estela Saenz, dba Montessori and Child Care of Nevada, Inc., 2300 Canosa Avenue, Maria E. Saenz, Owner, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Preschool

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. and Licensing regulations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Lena Kerr, dba Lena Kerr, 6717 Accent Court, Lena Kerr, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Jayantha Wickramatillake, dba Montessori House of Children, 125 Clifton Heights Drive, Jayantha Wickramatillake, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder, Calvary Church Day Care Center, 2929 Cedar Avenue, From: Elaine Brown, Dir, To: Donald Polaski, Administrator - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder, Bright Horizons Family Solutions, dba Citibank Child Care Center, 2720 Crystal Water Way, From: Marguerite Sallee, Pres, CEO, Dave Gleason, VP, To: Richard Garceau, Regional Mgr - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder, Nevada Association of Latin America (NALA), 323 North Maryland Pkwy, From: Zulliete Franco, Pres, To: Charlotte Eason, Center Dir, Roberta Prince, Dir of Finance - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Licensee/License Holder

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool/III Children's Center subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. and Licensing regulations, Children's Choice Nevada Corporation, dba Children's Choice Learning Center, 2750 South Rancho Drive, Cheryl Smith, Licensee/License Holder, Pres of Center Operations, Frances Jackson VP of Center Operations - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Child Care Center/Nursery/Preschool/III Children's Center

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire and planning codes, Health Dept. regulations and approval by Business Licensing

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License, Cynthia Smith, 7713 Beach Falls Court, Cynthia Smith, 100% - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Family Child Care Home License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Corporate Restructuring, Southwest Ambulance - Las Vegas, Inc., dba Southwest Ambulance, 4640 Arville Street, Suite G, From: Sharon K. Henry, Dir, Pres, 9.95%, John R. Wilson, Dir, Treas, 9.95%, William R. Crowell, Dir, Secy, Barry D. Landon, Dir, Patrick E. Cantelme, 16%, Rural/Metro of Nevada, Inc., 64.1%, Barry D. Landon, Pres, William Crowell, Secy, Treas, Rural/Metro Corporation, a publicly traded corporation, 100%, Barry D. Landon, Regional Pres, Southwest Division, William Crowell, SVP, Finance, To: Sharon K. Henry, Dir, Pres, 9.95%, John R. Wilson, Dir, Treas, Asst Secy, 9.95%, Robert E. Ramsey, Jr., Dir, Secy, Patrick E. Cantelme, Dir, Rural/Metro of Nevada, Inc., 80.1%, Robert E. Ramsey, Dir, Pres, Patrick E. Cantelme, Dir, Secy, Treas, SWA, LLC, 100%, Robert E. Ramsey, Jr., Mgr, Mmbr, 95%, Patrick E. Cantelme, Mgr, Mmbr, 5% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Corporate Restructuring

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License, From: E & M Enterprises, Inc., Murray L. Petersen, Dir, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100%, To: Lightman-Gourmet, LLC, dba The Gourmet Café, 330 South 3rd Street, Suite 100, Harold A. Lightman, Jr., Mmbr, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Ownership for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor License, Longs Drug Stores California, Inc., dba Longs Drug Store #409, 1950 Village Center Circle, William G. Maihen, Asst Mgr - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor License, Costco Wholesale Corporation, dba Costco Wholesale, 222 South Martin L. King Blvd., Thomas G. Burba, Gen Mgr - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor License, Longs Drug Stores California, Inc., dba Longs Drug Store #406, 9430 Del Webb Blvd., Antonio F. Ruiz, II, Asst Mgr - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Manager for a Package Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots, Gaggy, Inc., dba From: Kooter's Klub, To: Gino's II, 1511 South Main Street, Gene Gagliardi, Pres, Secy, Treas, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License subject to the provisions of the fire codes, Marion Sharp, dba Marion Sharp, From: 5650 West Charleston Blvd., Suite 9, To: 2213 Grier Woods Court, Marion C. Sharp, 100% - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Doug Stevens, dba Doug Stevens, From: 7310 Smoke Ranch Road, Suite M, To: 6345 South Pecos Road, #103, Douglas M. Stevens, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License, Brent Vanderpool, dba This Is It Massage, From: 600 Oakmont Drive, #3404, To: 3458 Pecos Way, Brent A. Vanderpool, 100% - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of Change of Location for an Independent Massage Therapist License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License, Rosemarie Arroyo, dba Chair Massage of Southern Nevada, 1 South Main Street, Rosemarie Arroyo, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment, Deborah Kay Hooker, dba Amethyst Salon & Spa, 8871 West Sahara Ave., Suite 100, Deborah K. Hooker, 100% - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Approval of a new Massage Establishment

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the issuance of a purchase order for a single purchase requirement for SCBA air packs, masks and cylinders for Fire Services personnel (JDF) - Department of Fire Services - Award recommended to: BAUER COMPRESSORS (Estimated amount of \$979,199.75 - Bond Proceeds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$979,199.75

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire Services

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This requirement is for air packs, masks and cylinders for Fire Services personnel to replace equipment which has reached the end of its useful life and to accommodate additional personnel.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding under NRS 332.115.1(d) Equipment, which, by reason of training of the personnel or of an inventory of replacement parts maintained by the local government, is compatible with existing equipment.

POC: Keith Hodak - (949) 719-2504

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the issuance of a purchase order for air packs, masks and cylinders in the amount of \$979,199.75 to Bauer Compressors.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of award of Bid Number 01.1730.04-RC, Mesquite Avenue Sanitary Sewer Reconstruction; and approve the construction conflicts and contingency reserve set by Finance and Business Services - Department of Public Works - Award recommended to: INSITUFORM TECHNOLOGIES, INC. (\$529,855 - Sanitation Fund) - Wards 5 and 3 (WeeklyReese)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$529,855

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Eng. Design

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sanitation Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The construction to be performed under this contract is generally described as reconstruction of approximately 3,600 lineal feet of 15 5-24-inch diameter reinforced concrete sanitary sewer pipe in Mesquite Avenue between 23rd Street and I-515.

POC: Alfonso Lopez (562) 946-0046

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 01.1730.04-RC, Mesquite Avenue Sanitary Sewer Reconstruction to Insituform Technologies, Inc., in the amount of \$529,855 and approve a construction conflicts and contingency reserve of \$200,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of the revision of the award to allow the usage of Western States Contracting Alliance (WSCA) Master Price Agreement #92-00151 to include HP Printers - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: DELL MARKETING L.P. (Estimated amount of \$275,000 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: Estimated \$275,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On November 3, 1999, Council approved the authorization to use WSCA Master Price Agreement #92-00151 for Dell Computers and Servers from Dell Marketing L.P.

This item is exempt from competitive bidding pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows the City to join state and local government contracts.

POC: Parrish Wilkinson (800) 981-3355 x 68374

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council allow the usage of WSCA Agreement to include HP Printers, to Dell Marketing, L.P., for the period from date of award through September 3, 2001, in the estimated amount of \$275,000, with one year renewal options for as long as the competitive bidding exception applies.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Master Price Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Consent

Item 26 – Approval of the revision of the award to allow the usage of Western States Contracting Alliance Master Price Agreement #92-00151

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of authorization to use Clark County Bid Number 3728.96 (KF) for an Annual Requirements Contract for Cellular Telecommunications for the period December 1, 2000, through November 30, 2001, with one (1) one-year option to renew - Department of Information Technologies - Award recommended to: SOUTHWEST CO. WIRELESS, LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, DBA VERIZON WIRELESS (Estimated annual amount of \$200,000 - General & Communications Enterprise Funds)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

☒

Budget Funds Available

☐

Augmentation Required

Amount: Estimated \$200,000

Dept./Division: Information Technologies

Funding Source: General & Communication Enterprise Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On July 2, 1996, Clark County approved the award of Bid Number 3728-96 for an Annual Requirements Contract for Cellular Telephone Service for the period from July 2, 1996, to November 30, 1999, with three(3) one-year options to renew.

This purchase is exempt from competitive bidding process pursuant to NRS 332.195, which allows local governments to use the contract of other local governments.

POC: Stephanie Wiles (702) 270-5519

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the use of Clark County Bid # 3728-96 for an annual requirements contract for cellular telephone service for the period 12/1/00 through 11/30/01 with one (1) one-year option to renew from Southwest Co. Wireless, dba Verizon in the estimated annual amount of \$200,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Joinder Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Consent

Item 27 – Clark County Bid No. 3728.96 (KF)

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services Department, and JOSEPH MARCELLA, Director, Information Technologies Department, were present. MR. VINCENT noted that both staff and Verizon representatives are aware that there have been some performance issues with service. Verizon has made and kept upgrade commitments, but more needs to be done as a transition is made from analog service to digital. The current contract does have performance built into the contract, including liquidated damages for failure to perform at the required levels as well as a 30-day cancellation clause. He recommended approval to proceed with the award and monitor the performance as specified in the contract. Also, he commented that the State of Nevada is in the process of evaluating its own cellular service, and the City may want to consider joining that contract.

COUNCILMAN BROWN posed the following questions on this matter: What is the length of the contract? Is the City exempt from the bidding process because it is joining the County contract? Does the City have the discretion to go out to bid for wireless service independent of the County and the State? It is true that Verizon has met some of the performance levels but has failed to meet others? Is this purely a low-bid contract or one where a low bid can be excluded based on past performance or perhaps even with additional incentives as far as pricing points and equipment?

MR. VINCENT responded that the contract would be effective through November 30, 2002. The County did go through the bidding process, and NRS allows the City to join that contract; but the City can go out to bid independent of the County and State, and he would highly recommend that, if the City were not satisfied. NRS does not necessarily require settling for the low bid. It also addresses responsibility and responsiveness to the specifications. Therefore, the City has some discretion in terms of reviewing the performance standards and the quality of service provided.

As far as meeting the performance levels, MR. MARCELLA explained that customer service has greatly improved since November with the assignment of specific representation. Verizon replaced its voice mail switch over the weekend and it is improving the network. The improved technology will reduce a lot of the congestion and drops that City staff has been experiencing.

COUNCILMAN BROWN confirmed with STEPHANIE WILES, Verizon Wireless, who was accompanied by R.J. NOEL and GREG CARIGUE, also of Verizon Wireless, that the City could terminate the existing contract on a 30-day notice, as well as her awareness of specific performance levels that were not met in November and that will be addressed accordingly.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Consent

Item 27 – Clark County Bid No. 3728.96 (KF)

MINUTES:

COUNCILMAN REESE asked if the City would be charged for calls that are not going through. MS. WILES answered that any billing inadequacies could be reviewed as an individual or City of Las Vegas contract. COUNCILMAN REESE added that he is very dissatisfied with the service that he has received to date and asked why the calls are being dropped. MS. WILES explained that Verizon Wireless took over Alltel's market in April of 2000, and it has been an ongoing process and procedure for Verizon Wireless to make network improvements so that all of its properties work the same across the country. This process caused some inconveniences to the customers; however, a major change in the network was done this past weekend. If the City is not satisfied after those changes, Verizon Wireless would be happy to discuss those and take whatever measures necessary to remedy that.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that quality service is as important as cost savings.

MR. VINCENT noted that the rate is anticipated to reduce from 11 cents a minute to about 8 cents a minute.

There was no further discussion.

(9:29 – 9:39)

1-785

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of Revision Number Two of Purchase Order Number 209991, annual requirements contract for firefighter turnout gear - Department of Fire Services - Award recommended to: FIRST IN, INC. (\$123,307.40 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$123,307.40☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Fire Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On 11/10/97 and 2/22/99 the City Council approved the award of Bid Number 98.JUL.13.TG to FIRST IN, INC. for \$160,000. When the contract was renewed on 10/13/00 budget was increased to \$250,000. Due to an increase of new firefighters, and replacement of used, damaged turnouts, the new estimated annual cost is \$373,307.40.

POC: Gary Carnicorn (602) 780-8998

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve Revision Number Two to Bid Number 98.JUL.13.TG to First In, Inc. in the amount of \$123,307.40.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of Bid Number 010040-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Miscellaneous Lock Supplies - Department of Detention and Enforcement - Award recommended to: VARIOUS SUPPLIERS (Estimated annual aggregate amount of \$71,750 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$71,750☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Detention & Enforcement☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This requirement will provide the Detention & Enforcement Division with an Annual Requirements Contract for Miscellaneous Lock Supplies. Award recommended to: NEVADA LOCK SUPPLY

Items # 1-2-4-5-7-8-10-12-16-17-19-20-23-24-25-26&27, PLUS ADDITIVES

POC: Ernest Wright (702) 737-0500

ACE LOCK & SUPPLY

Items # 3-9-11-13-14-18-21-22-29-30&31, PLUS ADDITIVES

POC: Robin Cherkes (908) 688-7666

INDEPENDENT HARDWARE INC.

Items # 15-22&28

POC: Frank Stanco (800) 346-9464

BEST ACCESS SYSTEMS

Item # 6

POC: Scott Ferguson (661) 295-0190

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of Bid Number 010040-DAR, Annual Requirements Contract for Miscellaneous Lock Supplies to various suppliers for the period from March 1, 2001, through February 28, 2002, w/ four (4) one-year options to renew in the estimated annual aggregate amount of \$71,750.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Consent

Item 29 – Bid Number 010040-DAR

MOTION – Continued:

the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of award of bid number 010044-TC, for the purchase of : Lot I, a 1-Ton Flat Bed Truck and Lot II, a 1-Ton Cab and Chassis- Department of Field Operations - Award recommended to: Lot I - FRIENDLY FORD (\$32,000 - Capital Fund) and Lot II - ST. GEORGE FORD (\$21,000 - Capital Fund)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$53,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Fleet/Transportation Services☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Capital Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This purchase will provide Fleet/Transportation Services with a 1-Ton flat bed truck and a 1-Ton cab and chassis.

POC: Friendly Ford - (702) 870-7221

POC: St. George Ford - (800) 634-5788

RECOMMENDATION:

That City Council approve the award of bid number 010044-TC, for the purchase of: Lot I, a 1-Ton Flat Bed Truck and Lot II, a 1-Ton Cab to Friendly Ford and St. George Ford, respectively, in the estimated total amount of \$53,000.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to award Request For Proposal Number 010032-LED, Coin Operated Telephone Service; Award recommended to NEVADA PAY PHONE. Annual Guarantee Revenue Amount \$18,200

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This is a revenue-generating contract for pay telephones throughout the City of Las Vegas. Three proposals were received with Nevada Pay Phone offering an annual guarantee amount of \$18,200 per year for the three-year period and the same amount for the two option years.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the award of RFP Number 010032-LED, Coin Operated Telephone Service to Nevada Pay Phone for the period March 1, 2001, through February 28, 2004, with two(2) one-year options to renew.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 31, 65, 66, 67, 68, and 69 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 75 to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:26)

1-638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to transfer City Centre Development Corporation (CCDC) Senior Management Analyst position to Office of Business Development (\$75,917 - General Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$75,917

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Business Dev/Redevelopment

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: General Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A classification for the duties assigned to this position is in progress. A new title and grade are to be determined and Development Project Analyst is the tentative title. The Office of Business Development urgently needs a position and creation of the position is proceeding while the other issues are being discussed.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Senior Management Analyst position be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Human Resources Employee/Position Request (EPR) form.

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: HUMAN RESOURCES

DIRECTOR: F. CLAUDETTE ENUS

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to award the Large Case Management Contract for the self-funded medical insurance plan with GENEX (\$94,800 - Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$94,800

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Human Resources/Insurance Serv

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Self-Insurance Internal Service Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City's current Large Case Management Contract for the self-funded medical insurance plan is up for renewal. The City would like GENEX to replace CONCENTRA as the City's Large Case Manager. The amount of the previous contract with CONCENTRA was \$102,000 and funds were budgeted for this fiscal year.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the Large Case Management contract with GENEX be approved.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

GENEX Agreement for On-site Large Case Management Services

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001****DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES****DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of a First Amendment to the Amended HOME Investments Partnerships Agreement to Fund Nevada HAND, Inc for the development of Stewart Pines Apartments, located at 1351 Stewart Avenue, through \$150,000 in Federal HOME funds - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On February 23, 1998, the City Council approved the allocation of \$150,000 in Federal HOME funds to Nevada HAND, Inc., for Stewart Pines Apartments, a 72-unit affordable housing development for seniors. On September 24, 1998, the City and Nevada HAND executed an agreement for this allocation. The agreement was superseded by an Amended HOME Agreement on December 21, 1998. This amendment revises the number of City-assisted units to 56, and restricts occupancy in those units to person's age 62 or older. The remaining 16 units would rent to 55 and older with no occupant under 50 years of age.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of the City Manager that the First Amendment be approved as stipulated herein.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

First Amendment to HOME Investments Partnerships Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES

DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Second Amendment to HOME Investments Partnerships Program Agreement to Fund Nevada HAND, Inc for the development of Stewart Pines Apartments, located at 1351 Stewart Avenue, through \$371,229 in State HOME Funds - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On February 5, 1997, the City Council approved the allocation of \$371,229 in State HOME funds for the development of Stewart Pines Apartments, a 72-unit affordable housing development for seniors. Also on February 5, 1997, the City and Nevada HAND executed an agreement for this allocation. An amendment to the HOME Agreement was approved on December 21, 1998. This Second Amendment revises the number of City-assisted units to 56, and restricts occupancy in those units to persons age 62 or older. The remaining 16 units would rent to 55 and older with no occupant under 50 years of age.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of the City Manager that the Second Amendment be approved as stipulated herein.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Second Amendment to HOME Investments Partnerships Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract LAS17C01 for Construction of Phase II of the Las Vegas Wash - Rancho Drive System (Smoke Ranch Road to Peak Drive) - (\$3,456,000 - Regional Flood Control District) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$3,456,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CCRFCD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract applies to all costs for construction administration, construction engineering and construction to place in service the Las Vegas Wash - Rancho Drive System (Smoke Ranch Road to Peak Drive. The Regional Flood Control District approved this contract at their January 11, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost shall not exceed \$3,456,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract LAS17C01

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract LAS09P00 for construction engineering costs to place in service the Freeway Channel - Alta Drive to Sahara Avenue and Bypass Facility From Wall Street to Sahara (\$2,500,000 - Regional Flood Control District) - Wards 1 and 5 (M. McDonald and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$2,500,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CCRFCD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Interlocal Contract applies to costs for construction engineering to place in service the Freeway Channel - Alta Drive to Sahara Avenue and Bypass Facility From Wall Street to Sahara. The Regional Flood Control District approved this contract at their April 3, 2000 Board Meeting. Total cost shall not exceed \$2,500,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Interlocal Contract LAS09P00

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS09O99 for additional construction funding of the Freeway Channel - Alta Drive to Sahara Avenue and Bypass Facility From Wall Street to Sahara Avenue (\$4,540,000 - Regional Flood Control District) - Wards 1 and 5 (M. McDonald and Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$4,540,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: CCRFCD

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract applies to additional construction funding of the Freeway Channel - Alta Drive to Sahara Avenue and Bypass Facility From Wall Street to Sahara Avenue. The Regional Flood Control District approved this contract at their January 11, 2001 Board meeting. Total cost shall not exceed \$36,200,000.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Third Supplemental Interlocal Contract LAS09O99

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Sewer Refunding Agreement with Plaster Development Company for Stone Mountain (\$6,060 - Sanitation Funds) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$6,060

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sanitation Funds

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Plaster Development Co., Inc. is developing property known as Stone Mountain. This Sewer Refunding Agreement provides for over sizing costs for the Buffalo Drive sewer line from Grand Teton Drive to Racel Road as shown on Exhibit "A". The basis for the oversizing costs are explained on the attached Exhibit "B". This agreement will reimburse the developer for \$6,060 oversizing costs.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Sewer Refunding Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval to appraise and purchase or condemn right-of-way parcels for the Rainbow Boulevard Improvements Project between Silverstream Avenue and Smoke Ranch Road, and between Rancho Drive and Centennial Parkway (\$1,100,000 - Regional Transportation Commission) - Wards 1, 2, 4, 5, and 6 (M. McDonald, L.B. McDonald, Brown, Weekly and Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$1,100,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Third Amendment to Professional Services Agreement with Primas & Associates for the design of Tenaya Way from Sky Pointe Drive to Azure Drive (\$55,000 - Regional Transportation Commission and \$95,000 - Street Improvement District - Total \$150,000) Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$150,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: RTC/SID #1476

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas desires to extend the limits of this project to include the temporary road on Azure to intersect with the Frontage Road, a pedestrian flasher and revise the Azure Drive drawings. This modifies the Professional Services Agreement, Amendment #1 and Amendment #2 costs from \$468,345 to \$618,345.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Third Amendment to Professional Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Assessment District Agreement with Greengale Properties LLC, for future participation in a Special Improvement District to construct off-site improvements adjacent to the development located on the northeast corner of Tenaya Way and Haley Avenue (APN 125-22-601-001) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: PW/SID**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

As a condition to the approval of a parcel map CLV requires developers to construct full off-site improvements adjacent to their development. The required off-sites for this development have been postponed until they can be integrated into the overall development of the area. Approval of the agreement outlines the owner's commitment to participate in a future SID to complete the improvements when necessary.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Assessment District Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:**APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)****Item 31:****STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)****MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of Interlocal Contract with Clark County Sanitation District and Sewer Connection Agreement requested by Catherine Ann Woolf, owner (Northwest corner of Rio Vista Street and Bath Avenue) - (County)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This request is to connect a single family dwelling located at Rio Vista Street and Bath Avenue. The owner proposes to connect to an existing 30" City sewer line located in Rio Vista Street. The Planning Department has determined the project does conform to the City's General Plan for the area; there is sufficient capacity in the City Sanitary Sewer. The applicant has signed a "Sewer Connection Agreement" and a "Petition for Annexation". It is understood that the City will provide sewer service only and that no commitment for water is included with approval.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Approval of a Professional Services Agreement with Lucchesi Galati Architects for Architectural, Civil Engineering, and Landscape design services for Garehime Park located near the northwest corner of Gilmore Avenue and Campbell Road (\$181,250- Parks CIP Fund Balance) - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$181,250

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/City Engineer

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Parks CIP Fund

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City of Las Vegas desires to construct a 10 acre neighborhood park across Campbell Road from Garehime Elementary School and consisting of a restroom, shade structure, children's playground equipment area, jogging paths, tennis, skate park, and basketball activities.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council approve the negotiated Professional Services Agreement with Lucchesi Galati Architects for design services of Garehime Park in the amount of \$181,250 and approve an Additional Services contingency reserve of \$18,125.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Professional Service Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001**

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Approval of an Engineering Design Services Agreement with CH2M Hill, Inc. in conjunction with the Torrey Pines Drive Sanitary Sewer from Tropical Parkway to Azure Drive (\$79,000 - Sanitation Fund) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$79,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** PW/City Engineer☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Sanitation Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas (CLV) desires to obtain quality professional services to perform design and preparation of bid documents for sewer improvements in Torrey Pines Drive. The pipe to be installed is an eighteen-inch (18") diameter in Torrey Pines Drive from Tropical Parkway to Azure Road. Additionally, a small section of pipe will be extended under the future Northern Beltway Alignment in the vicinity of Centennial Parkway. The total pipeline length will be approximately 2000 feet.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Engineering Design Services Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:**APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)**Item 31:****STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARVIN A. LEAVITT

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-13-2001 - Approval of a Resolution supporting the Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition's request that the State of Nevada implement an annual diesel vehicle emission check or grant authority allowing local air quality agencies to implement a program

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Regional government agencies are making a collaborative effort in the area of air quality. The Southern Nevada Regional Planning Coalition identified this implementation of a program for annual diesel emission inspections as an important step in the effort to improve air quality.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt the resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-13-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-14-2001 - Approval of a Resolution amending Schedule 25-II, Schedule 25-III and Schedule 25-IV to change the speed limit to 40 mph on Durango Drive between US 95 and the north City limits - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: PW/TRAFFIC ENGINEERING**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The speed limits on Durango Drive between US 95 and the north City limits are posted in different sections at 25 mph, 35 mph and 45 mph. The realignment of Durango Drive has been completed, so a consistent speed limit on this stretch of roadway would be appropriate. Durango Drive ranges from 100- to 120-foot right-of-way along this stretch. Because the 85th percentile speed is above 40 mph, the most appropriate speed limit would be 40 mph since segments of this roadway are still being developed.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

Resolution No. R-14-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-15-2001 - Approval of a Resolution amending Schedule 25-II to change the speed limit from 25 mph to 35 mph on Elkhorn Road between Tenaya Way and Buffalo Drive - Ward 6 (M. Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Elkhorn Road is a 100-foot right-of-way roadway that lies within the jurisdiction of both the city of Las Vegas and Clark County. Clark County conducted a traffic study that showed the 85th percentile speed to be 35 mph. Full street improvements have recently been completed on this section of roadway. The speed limit is 35 mph on Elkhorn Road east of Tenaya Way and west of Buffalo Drive, and 35 mph is also the appropriate speed limit for this stretch of roadway.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

Resolution No. R-15-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:****RESOLUTIONS:**

R-16-2001 - Approval of a Resolution amending Schedule 25-III and Schedule 25-IV to change the speed limit from 40 mph to 45 mph on Carey Avenue between Comstock Drive and Martin Luther King Boulevard, and from 35 mph to 40 mph on Carey Avenue between Martin Luther King Boulevard and Revere Street - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division: PW/TRAFFIC ENGINEERING**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On Carey Avenue from Comstock Drive to Revere Street, the north half of the roadway is in the city of North Las Vegas and the south half in the city of Las Vegas. North Las Vegas' studies showed the 85th percentile speed along this roadway to be 40 mph or higher. They would like to raise the speed limit on Carey Avenue from Comstock Drive to Martin Luther King Boulevard to 45 mph and from Martin Luther King Boulevard to Revere Street to 40 mph. They asked our concurrence in raising the speed limit in order to have a consistent speed limit on this stretch of roadway.

RECOMMENDATION:

Traffic and Parking Commission and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Map

Resolution No. R-16-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Consent
Item 49 – R-16-2001

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: OFFICE OF BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: LESA CODER

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-17-2001 - Approval of a Resolution designating a \$750,000 Economic Development Initiative Grant for land acquisition for a downtown Las Vegas Metropolitan Police substation or another downtown development initiative - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development has made available to the City of Las Vegas \$750,000 for downtown economic development initiatives. This Resolution designates these funds to be used for a downtown Metropolitan Police substation.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-17-2001

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, indicated that part of one of the redevelopment area initiatives is to try and relocate the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (Metro) Command Center to another location somewhere in East Fremont Street area. This would help improve the complexion in some of the problems that are being experienced presently with that portion of the downtown area. Staff would like to allocate the federal funds from the Economic Development Initiative (EDI) Grant toward the Metro Command Center. The Resolution includes a clause that would allow staff to seek the Council's direction on the best use of the funds if those funds are not used for this purpose. Approval of the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Consent

Item 50 – R-17-2001

MINUTES – Continued:

Resolution will also allow staff to proceed with an application to HUD (Housing and Urban Development) for the transfer of those funds to the City.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY confirmed with MS. CODER that use of the funds for any project other than the Metro substation would be presented to the City Council for consideration and approval, because he would like to ensure that the funds are used for projects within Ward 5.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked if the funds could be used for the renovation of an existing facility and whether there is any language that limits the use of the funds toward a new Metro substation. MS. CODER replied that there is some flexibility. Rehabbing an existing facility typically is more expensive than building a new one; therefore, staff would rather try and avoid that if at all possible.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD questioned whether the resources have been allocated to build a new building because \$750,000 would not suffice for the construction of a new Metro substation. DEPUTY CITY MANAGER HOUCHEMS indicated that the City and County are in the process of building Metro substations in the City and County. As the next phase of joint funding is approached, the City would look into including the downtown command center on that list, which is probably a year out.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD cautioned that staff must keep in mind that this could amount to a \$3 million project, for which funds must be secured in order to complete it and fully staff it. MS. CODER noted that staff is aware that this is just one step out of many toward that end goal.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked if there is a timeline in using the funds. MS. CODER answered that once approved through the application process, staff would have three years to spend the funds. Staff anticipates that that obligation would be fulfilled once the property is purchased.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD questioned Metro's view on the matter. MS. CODER indicated that Metro's response has been quite positive. Nothing has been submitted in writing, but staff is of the understanding that the proposed site (which was not given due to ongoing negotiations) would be suitable for their intended use.

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that it is imperative that the City acquire the Fifth Street School in order to continue to redevelop and revitalize the downtown area, recognizing, of course, that the City is responsible in large part for Metro. He urged her to act with alacrity.

There was no further discussion.

(9:39 – 9:46)

1-1147

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-18-2001 - Approval of a Resolution Determining the Cost and Directing the City Engineer to Prepare the Final Assessment Roll re: Special Improvement District No. 1475 - Westcliff Drive (Cimarron Road to Rainbow Boulevard) (\$52,003.45 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) – Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$52,003.45

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of “L” type curb and gutter, sidewalks, streetlights, sewer laterals and water laterals. Costs will be recovered over a 10-year period.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-18-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-19-2001 - Approval of a resolution fixing the time and place when complaints, protests, and objections to the final assessment roll will be heard for Special Improvement District No. 1475 - Westcliff Drive (Cimarron Road to Rainbow Boulevard) (\$52,003.45 - Capital Projects Fund - Levy Assessments) - Ward 2 (L.B. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$52,003.45

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Levy Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of "L" type curb and gutter, sidewalks, streetlights and water laterals. Costs will be recovered over a 10-year period.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-19-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RESOLUTIONS:

R-20-2001 - Approval of a Resolution Disposing of the Protests made at the hearing on the Provisional Order regarding: Special Improvement District No. 1473 - Ann Road (US-95 Freeway to Allen Lane) (\$421,517.83 - Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments) - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$421,517.83

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, medians and/or center left turn lanes, storm drain facilities, traffic control devices, curb and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential and commercial driveways, sewer laterals and water laterals.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council adopt this Resolution.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Resolution No. R-20-2001

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations for a long-term lease agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Hispanic Association for Bilingual Literacy and Education (HABLE) for the creation of a family resource center at Harris Avenue and Manning Street - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On 1/11/01, we received an unsolicited letter of proposal from HABLE, proposing to construct and operate a family resource center. HABLE would like to provide community services within the center, including a self-contained day care center, a computer training academy, a research library, and a youth educational center. The construction and operation of the center are both grant funded.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 2/20/2001 referred the item to Full Council without a recommendation. Staff recommends approval to enter into negotiations

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. 1/11/01 letter of proposal
2. Site map

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended

MINUTES:

JOHN McNELLIS, Deputy Director, Public Works Department, described the project, as outlined in the Purpose/Background, and stated that staff is seeking direction on whether to proceed with negotiations.

COUNCILMAN REESE indicated that he has been in constant contact with HABLE on this project, which will provide many services and will fit in well with the Girl Scouts facility, the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Consent

Item 54 – Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations for a long-term lease agreement with HABLE for the creation of a family resource center at Harris Avenue and Manning Street

MINUTES – Continued:

day care center, and the new animal control building. He also verified with the Fire Department that there is ample room for expansion if necessary. He requested the Council's support.

There was no further discussion.

(9:46 – 9:49)

1-1414

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Weekly and Mack

Approval of an amendment to the Property Disposition Policy to replace the words "Department of General Services" with the words "Department of Public Works" within the text of the Policy - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On March 7, 1990, the Las Vegas City Council adopted a Real Estate Policy entitled the Property Disposition Policy. In this policy, the Department of General Services is referenced in several sentences. However, the Department of General Services was dissolved by Ordinance #5135 on March 8, 1999. The Real Estate & Assets Section is now in the Department of Public Works. In order to bring this Policy up to date, we need to delete references to the Department of General Services and insert the Department of Public Works.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 2/20/2001 and staff recommend that the Property Disposition Policy be amended to replace "the Department of General Services" with "the Department of Public Works".

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Consent

Item 55 – Approval of an amendment to the Property Disposition Policy to replace the words “Department of General Services” with “Department of Public Works”

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) between the United States Department of Commerce International Trade Administration and the City of Las Vegas Office of Business Development detailing the "deal points" to be incorporated for a lease of office space located at 400 South Las Vegas Boulevard (Fifth Street School) - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The U.S. Dept. of Commerce (USDC) contacted the Business Development Office to enter into a lease agreement for office space to maintain & operate the Las Vegas Export Assistance Center. The primary purpose for operating the center is to more completely meet the needs of the U.S. business community interested in benefits of international business. The USDC office will be staffed by a trade specialist to serve the local international business community by providing market information, export counseling, & value-added services, leading to increased export activities.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 2/20/2001 and staff of the Office of Business Development recommend approval of the MOU

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. MOU
2. Site map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:**APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)****Item 31:****STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Consent

Item 56 – MOU with the United States Department of Commerce International Trade Administration for a lease of office space at 400 South Las Vegas Boulevard

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of a Temporary Encroachment Agreement between the City of Las Vegas and Four Queens, Inc. to allow for installation of a temporary portable antenna system at the public off-street parking facility located at 222 East Carson Avenue - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Four Queens leases this off-street parking facility from the City. Four Queens is requesting temporary installation of a portable antenna system on the roof of said property. Antenna will be in place for a period of nineteen (19) days, from March 12, 2001, through March 30, 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 2/20/2001 and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Temporary Encroachment Agreement

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Easement between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Valley Water District for the purposes of providing water services to service the Charter School Development Foundation, located on Parcel Number 139-21-702-005 - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

A Lease Agreement with the Charter School Development Foundation (CSDF) was previously approved by Council on November 1, 2000. In order to provide CSDF with water service, the Easement needs to be executed. Upon execution of this Easement, it will ensure that the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) provides for the construction, operation, maintenance, repair, renewal, reconstruction and removal of pipelines for conducting water with the right of ingress and egress, over, above, across, and under Parcel Number 139-21-702-005.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 2/20/2001 and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Easement
2. Site map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:**APPROVED under separate action** (see individual item)**Item 31:****STRICKEN under separate action** (see individual item)**MINUTES:**

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of an Easement between the City of Las Vegas and the Las Vegas Valley Water District for ingress and egress for placement of a fire hydrant for the Charter School Development Foundation, located on Parcel Number 139-21-702-005 - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

A Lease Agreement with the Charter School Development Foundation (CSDF) was previously approved by Council on November 1, 2000. In order to provide CSDF with water-related facilities for fire protection, the Easement needs to be executed. Upon execution of this Easement, it will ensure that the Las Vegas Valley Water District (LVVWD) provides for the construction, operation, maintenance, repair, renewal, reconstruction and removal of pipelines for conducting water with the right of ingress and egress, over, above, across, and under Parcel Number 139-21-702-005.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 2/20/2001 and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Easement
2. Site map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Consent

Item 59 – Approval of an easement with the Las Vegas Valley Water District for ingress/egress for a fire hydrant for the Charter School Development Foundation

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to submit a letter to the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) relinquishing 15 acres of BLM leased land located at Tropical Parkway and Durango Drive in favor of the Clark County School District (CCSD) in exchange for 10 acres of CCSD land located in the vicinity of Redwood Street and Oakey Boulevard - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The City will accept title in trade for 10 acres of land that is required in order to construct a 40 acre park located on the NE corner of Redwood Street and Oakey Boulevard. In exchange for that land, the City will relinquish 15 acres of BLM leased land located in the vicinity of Durango Drive and Tropical Pkwy. The CCSD action will be presented before their board around the end of February.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 2/20/2001 and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Letter of relinquishment
2. Site maps

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☒

CONSENT

☐

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval of designating the City-owned property, Parcel Number 139-36-603-001, located at the northwest corner of Stewart and Mojave Avenues, as the future site of the East Las Vegas Business/Incubator Center - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Public Works/Real Estate

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Through community meetings, residents of East Las Vegas have indicated a need to develop programs which will create and/or retain jobs in their area. By designating this property as the future site of the East Las Vegas Business/Incubator Center, the City takes the first step in creating a program in which the primary purpose would be to help fledging companies expand to encourage job creation/retention which will improve the area's economy.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 2/20/2001 and staff recommend approval

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Site map

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:

APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)

Item 31:

STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☒**CONSENT**☐**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations for a lease agreement with Lone Mountain Little League (LMLL) for a concession stand and approval for a 30-day temporary use of the concession stand located at Children's Memorial Park, 6601 West Gowan Road - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

LMLL, a non-profit corporation, has sent the City a unsolicited letter of proposal requesting placement of a concession stand at Children's Memorial Park. LMLL is the little league association that has the programming rights for the fields at the park through the Department of Leisure Services. LMLL is providing all of the stainless steel kitchen equipment for the interior of the building. LMLL looks forward to a long relationship with the City to further serve the needs of the children, parents and attendees at the park.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 2/20/2001 and staff recommend approval to enter into negotiations for a lease agreement and approval of a 30-day temporary use while the lease is being negotiated, written and to allow time for approval.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Unsolicited letter

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to APPROVE Items 3-26, 28-30, 32-49, 51-53, and 55-62 – UNANIMOUS with COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD abstaining on Items 58 and 59 because she Chairs the Building Committee for the St. James Catholic Church, which is adjacent to the Andre Agassi Charter School and they have many common concerns

Items 27, 50, and 54:**APPROVED under separate action (see individual item)****Item 31:****STRICKEN under separate action (see individual item)**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Consent

Item 62 – Approval authorizing staff to enter into negotiations for a lease agreement with Lone Mountain Little League for a concession stand

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:27 – 9:29)

1-700

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE**DIRECTOR: VIRGINIA VALENTINE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ADMINISTRATIVE:

Report and possible action on power de-regulation from the Southern Nevada Water Authority's General Manager and direct staff as deemed appropriate

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

This report will give a informational overview of electric energy issues in Southern Nevada.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that the City Council receive the report and direct staff accordingly

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Informational materials from the SNWA

Submitted at the meeting: Hard copy of the PowerPoint presentation by Mr. Caan

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to ACCEPT the report, requesting that any results be provided to the City Council members to keep their constituents informed, and that ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL closely monitor the proceedings at the legislature on deregulation – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining to avoid any conflict because he owns a small interest in the APEX industrial complex, which is the potential site for power companies to locate

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

RICHARD WIMMER, Deputy General Manager of Administration, Southern Nevada Water Authority

GEORGE CAAN, Executive Director, Nevada Colorado River Commission

STEVE RIGAZIO, President, Nevada Power Company

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

VIRGINIA VALENTINE, City Manager

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Administrative

Item 63 – Report and possible action on power deregulation from the Southern Nevada Water Authority's General Manager and direct staff as deemed appropriate

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed the City Manager's office to expedite the permitting process for the power companies.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed the City Manager's office to have a member of staff present at the joint workshop.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE directed ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL to closely monitor the proceedings at the legislature regarding deregulation, because much of what the City can do on this matter will depend on the actions taken at the legislature.

NOTE: CITY MANAGER VALENTINE indicated that the joint workshop meeting of the Board of Directors of the Colorado River Commission and the Southern Nevada Water Authority would be held on 2/22/01 at the Water District, at 10:00 a.m.

(9:49 – 10:38)

1-1515/2-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Approved 2/16/00 subject to one year review: Mark Carver, 3415 Twilight Star Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – STRIKE – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

The appellant was not present.

SERGEANT REAGAN ALEXANDER, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department, indicated that it was verified with the Manager of the establishment that MR. CARVER never started work at the place for which the work card was approved.

There was no further discussion.

(10:38)

2-149

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Joseph D. Hicks, 7717
Constanso #202, Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

**REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 31, 65, 66, 67, 68, and 69 and Hold
in ABEYANCE Item 75 to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS**

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:26)

1-638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Teonnie Malie Holmes, 3445 College View, Unit B, Las Vegas, Nevada 89030

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 31, 65, 66, 67, 68, and 69 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 75 to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:26)

1-638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Adriana V. Zier, 2419 Natalie Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada 89121

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 31, 65, 66, 67, 68, and 69 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 75 to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:26)

1-638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Sheila Renia Washington, 1712 Yellow Rose Street, Las Vegas, Nevada 89108

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 31, 65, 66, 67, 68, and 69 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 75 to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:26)

1-638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action on Appeal of Work Card Denial: Clayborn R. Ellis III, 8216 West Dolphin Bay Court, Las Vegas, Nevada 89128

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

RECOMMENDATION:

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Appellant Letter of Appeal and City Clerk Notification Letter to Appellant

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 31, 65, 66, 67, 68, and 69 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 75 to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:26)

1-638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Hearing, discussion and possible action regarding disciplinary complaint against Guixia He, dba Quality Massage, 3909 West Sahara Ave., Clark County, Nevada, for violations of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Hearing, discussion and possible action regarding disciplinary complaint

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend revocation of Quality Massage Establishment License No. M03-00067-4-084232 and Independent Massage Therapist License No. M12-00270-5-094570

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Order Approving Complaint and Notice of Hearing
Complaint for Disciplinary Action
Affidavit of Service

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - Motion to follow the recommendation of SR. LITIGATION COUNSEL HENRY and suspend the license until the matter is brought back to the Council at the conclusion of the appeal process by ATTORNEY HAM – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

BILL HENRY, Sr. Litigation Counsel
JOHN E. HAM, Attorney for MRS. HE
MR. and MRS. HE

(9:24 – 9:26)

1-638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Hearing, discussion and possible action regarding disciplinary complaint against John A. Bybel, dba Dylangers, Inc., aka Day & Night Convenience Store, 1451 West Owens Ave., Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada, for violations of Title 6 of the Las Vegas Municipal Code - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Hearing, discussion and possible action regarding disciplinary complaint

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend revocation of Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License No. L10-00097-4-000311, Gaming License No. G01-01708-4-00347, Convenience Store (Retail) License No. C15-00145-6-027850 and Retail Tobacco License No. C05-01153-B-027850

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Order Approving Complaint and Notice of Hearing

Complaint for Disciplinary Action

Affidavit of Service

Submitted at the meeting: Stipulation, Affidavit of John Bybel, and letter from Captain Rich Bilyeu

Submitted after the meeting: Notice of Entry of Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law and Order Revoking Beer/Wine/Cooler/Off-Sale License No. L10-00097-4-000311

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Motion to REVOKE the liquor license – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

BILL HENRY, Sr. Litigation Counsel

J. T. MORAN, III

JOHN A. BYBEL

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

(10:46 – 11:35)

2-424

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE & BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on the Fiscal Year 2002 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Funding Apportionment

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The City of Las Vegas and Clark County share the cost of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department (LVMPD) in accordance with NRS 280.201. This apportionment formula is based on LVMPD statistics on calls for service and felony crimes, which when applied to LVMPD cost categories of uniform, investigative, and community services results in decreases of 0.3, 0.2, and 0.7 percentage points respectively. If the FY 2002 budget were identical to FY 2001, these reductions would translate to 0.3 percentage point decrease in our share of cost, or about \$550K.

RECOMMENDATION:

Approve Fiscal Year 2002 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Funding Apportionment Plan.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Fiscal Year 2002 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Apportionment Plan.

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MARK VINCENT, Director, Finance and Business Services Department, indicated that the Apportionment Plan represents decreases in certain statistical areas that determine the City's apportionment. For example, in the uniform services area there are three components: population, calls for service, and felony crime statistics; all of which decreased. The reductions represent an approximate .03 percent decrease in the City's share of Metro's (Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department) operating budget. He recommended approval.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 72 – Discussion and possible action on the Fiscal year 2002 Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department Funding Apportionment

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested information as to whether there are any services provided by Metro to local entities, other than the City or the County, because Metro receives funding from the City and County. MR. VINCENT said that it was previously represented to him that Metro would bill the other agencies for any services. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE noted that the Sheriff, as an elected official, is required to provide certain services Countywide. A list of those services would be forthcoming.

(11:35 – 11:39)

2-2238

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations, From: Ranger Building Corporation, dba Ranger Building Corporation, Jonna S. Foresta, Pres, Secy, Treas, Sigmund A. Rogich, Dir, Rogich Family Trust, 100%, Sigmund A. Rogich, Grantor, Trustee, To: D. Westwood, Inc., dba The Board Room, 2801 Westwood Drive, Ali Davari, Dir, Pres, Treas, 50%, Hassan Davari, Dir, Secy, 50% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be made following the discussion of the investigation by the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Dept.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

Submitted at the meeting: Memo from Mr. DiFiore with attached letter, draft Compliance Policy Manual, liquor license exhibits from Mr. Fiorentino, and reference letters from customers of Ali and Hassan Davari

MOTION:

REESE - Motion to APPROVE the license, subject to the conditions in the Compliance Policy Manual, immediate revocation at the first conviction for sexual misconduct without any legal action to be taken by the applicants, a six-month review after the establishment opens, and an immediate public hearing to be held if any problems should arise – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD abstaining because of his relationship with MR. ROGICH

NOTE: MAYOR GOODMAN disclosed that although ATTORNEY STEVE STEIN, who was co-counsel in this matter, was his law partner twelve years ago, they no longer have any type of relationship. He felt that he could remain impartial in this matter. CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC opined that since there is no ongoing business relationship and the Mayor feels comfortable, there is no conflict of interest and disclosure would suffice.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 73 – Discussion and possible action regarding Change of Ownership and Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License from Ranger Building Corporation to The Board Room

MINUTES:

NOTE: A Verbatim Transcript is made a part of the Final Minutes.

APPEARANCES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services Division

SERGEANT REAGAN ALEXANDER, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

BRAD JERBIC, City Attorney

MARK FIORENTINO, Attorney; Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner, and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway

DETECTIVE JOHN LARETTO, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

LIEUTENANT PATRICK NEVILLE, Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

ALI “DAVE” DAVARI

HASSAN “GEORGE” DAVARI

PAUL NUGENT, Attorney, counsel for Ali and Hassan Davari on matters in Houston

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK directed MR. DiFIORE to confer with him on ways of raising the bar for sexually oriented businesses.

(11:39 – 1:06)

2-2417/3-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES**DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership/Location & Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, From: LVCI, dba Meadows Inn, 525 East Bonanza Rd. (Non-operational), Robert E. O'Connell, Dir, Pres and Mary A. O'Connell, Dir, Secy, Treas, 97% jointly as husband and wife, To: Tropics, LLC, dba Tropics Broiler & Bar, 1617 South Decatur Blvd., Kent K. Kananu, Mgr, Mmbr, 42.5%, Gregory N. Becker, Mgr, Mmbr, 42.5%, Nancy K. Dehler, Mmbr, 15% - Ward 1 (M. McDonald)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership/Location & Business Name for a Tavern Liquor License and a new Restricted Gaming License for 15 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Dept. regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

Letter from Ann M. Pierce

Map

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD and REESE not voting

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY TOM AMICK, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner, and Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, was present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Finance and Business Services

Item 74 – Discussion and possible action regarding Temporary Approval of Change of Ownership/Location and Business Name from LVCI to Tropics, LLC

MINUTES – Continued:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services Division, recommended approval, subject to the provisions of the fire codes and Health Department regulations and approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission.

There was no further discussion.

(1:06 – 1:08)

3-2330

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a One-Year Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License, Rageh Hashem, dba Food Fair Market, 632 H Street, Rageh H. Hashem, 100% - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a One-Year Review of a Beer/Wine/Cooler Off-sale Liquor License

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommendation to be made following the discussion of the investigation of the Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and STRIKE Items 31, 65, 66, 67, 68, and 69 and Hold in ABEYANCE Item 75 to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no related discussion.

(9:24 – 9:26)

1-638

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Restricted Gaming License for 14 slots subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission, Lightman-Gourmet, LLC, dba The Gourmet Café, 330 South 3rd Street, Suite 100, Harold A. Lightman, Jr., Mmbr, 100% - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Discussion and possible action regarding a new Restricted Gaming License for 14 slots

RECOMMENDATION:

Recommend approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Agenda Memo

Letter from Mary J. Drury

MOTION:

M. McDONALD – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

JIM DiFIORE, Manager, Business Services Division, recommended approval subject to approval by the Nevada Gaming Commission.

There was no further discussion.

(1:08 – 1:09)

3-2394

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Discussion and possible action on Downtown Redevelopment Group I, LLC as the developer for the Mortgage Buydown Assistance for Home Ownership Downtown Las Vegas Project and expenditure of Redevelopment Set Aside Housing funds in the amount of \$440,000 - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$440,000☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neigh. Svcs./Neigh. Devel.☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** Redevelopment Set Aside Housing**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

It is staff's recommendation to approve Downtown Redevelopment Group I, LLC as developer for the Redevelopment Set Aside Housing to Fund Mortgage Buydown Assistance for Home Ownership Downtown Las Vegas Project to construct five (5), 2 and 3 bedroom homes located within the project area bound by Las Vegas Boulevard, Carson Avenue, Tenth Street, and Hoover Avenue. By the City approving Downtown Redevelopment Group I, LLC as the developer, it will further the goal of infill housing for home ownership downtown.

RECOMMENDATION:

It is the recommendation of the City Manager to approve the Redevelopment Set Aside Program Agreement to fund Mortgage Buydown Assistance for Home Ownership Downtown Las Vegas and to authorize the Mayor to sign any and all necessary agreements.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Redevelopment Set Aside Program Agreement to Fund Mortgage Buy Down Assistance for Home Ownership Downtown Las Vegas

Submitted after the meeting: hard copy of PowerPoint presentation by Ms. Segerblom

Subsequent to the meeting - on September 17, 2002 an amended Disclosure of Principals was submitted for the record by Michael E. Nigro of the Downtown Redevelopment Group I, LLC

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED as recommended – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

NOTE: COUNCILMAN MACK disclosed that he had a previous relationship with MR. NIGRO. and his brother. They built his home and they had some investments together. This was a few years back and he felt comfortable voting on this issue.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001**Neighborhood Services**

Item 77 – Discussion and possible action on Downtown Redevelopment Group I, LLC as the developer for the Mortgage Buydown Assistance for Home Ownership Downtown Las Vegas Project and expenditure of Redevelopment Set Aside Housing funds in the amount of \$440,000

MINUTES:

TODD NIGRO, Manager, Downtown Redevelopment Group I, LLC, was present.

SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director, Neighborhood Services Department, gave a PowerPoint presentation and indicated that the Request for Proposal on this project was published on November 10, 2000. The developer that positively responded is Downtown Redevelopment Group I, LLC, for the redevelopment of 311 and 315 South 9th Street, which is the former site of three decaying properties that needed extensive rehab. The proposal includes two- and three-bedroom turnkey homes, which means that the homes will have all appliances, window coverings, complete front- and rear-yard landscaping, and fencing. The amount of each individual owner subsidy will be based on the need, as verified by a HUD certified counseling agency. The loan will be made directly to the homeowner at the close of escrow and the period of affordability will be from five to fifteen years, depending on the amount of the homebuyer subsidy. If a home were sold before the period of affordability, the amount of subsidy would be paid to the City by process of a lien.

MR. NIGRO commented that five new homes would be erected at the subject location. The homes will be the same product being built in the Desert Bloom subdivision in the Summerlin Master Planned Community. The homes should be a welcome addition to the area and they are perfectly situated on 9th Street across from the community center. He thanked the Council, especially COUNCILMAN WEEKLY for allowing him to work on this project. He also thanked MS. SEGERBLOM, ADA MORITZ-SMITH, and FAYE JOHNSON for their efforts to bring single-family homes to the downtown area. Hopefully this will not be the last project of this sort.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that this project is a critical component of the Council's dream to revitalize the downtown area. He felt confident that the project will be a success.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked if continuing to develop this type of product in the downtown area would create any type of land-use or zoning issues. ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning and Development Department, replied that this project is the start of what staff hopes will be increased densities as properties are assembled in the downtown area. Single-family home ownership is greatly needed in the area and this is a product that staff can live with. The applicant will still have to go through the site development review and subdivision process. There are also some variances that will have to be approved in order to accommodate the project under the current zoning, but that will be considered at a later time by the Council. MR. NIGRO pointed out that the density for this project is well below apartment or multi-family zoning.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Neighborhood Services

Item 77 – Discussion and possible action on Downtown Redevelopment Group I, LLC as the developer for the Mortgage Buydown Assistance for Home Ownership Downtown Las Vegas Project and expenditure of Redevelopment Set Aside Housing funds in the amount of \$440,000

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN indicated that he just wants to ensure that the right precedent is being set.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY commented that this is a great start and a great project that he is very excited about, especially after viewing the homes in Desert Bloom in Summerlin. He looks forward to working with MR. NIGRO on future projects.

There was no further discussion.

(1:09 – 1:17)

3-2442

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS

DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - Report on the status of projects for the Environmental Division of Public Works

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/Environmental

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

To inform the Council of the current projects being managed by the Division:

Item 1. - The Northwest Water Reclamation Center, Item 2.- Construction at the WPCF, Item 3.
- The Las Vegas Wash Alternate Discharge Study.

RECOMMENDATION:

Report only; no action required

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

REESE – ABEYANCE to 3/7/01 – UNANIMOUS with MACK not voting

MINUTES:

In the interest of time, RICHARD GOECKE, Director, Public Works Department, suggested this matter be held in abeyance for two weeks.

There was no further discussion.

(1:17 – 1:18)

3-2854

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT RECOMMENDING BOARD (CDRB) – Clinton House –
Term Expires 6-15-2001 (Resigned)

Fiscal Impact

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No Impact	Amount:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Budget Funds Available	Dept./Division:
<input type="checkbox"/>	Augmentation Required	Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This Board reviews all Community Development Block Grant and other funding sources and makes recommendations to the City Council on the applicants eligible to receive these funds. On December 6, 2000, the CDRB was established to review the 2001 applications. Mr. House was appointed at that time but has informed the Department of Neighborhood Services that he will be unable to serve. Mr. House is a community-based representative on this Board and was recommended for appointment by Councilwoman Boggs-McDonald.

RECOMMENDATION:

Councilwoman Boggs-McDonald has recommended that Ms. Margaret Maul fill Mr. House's unexpired term.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Current listing and authority – Community Development Recommending Board

MOTION:

L.B. McDONALD – Motion to APPOINT Margaret Maul, 104 N. Royal Ascot Drive, Las Vegas, Nevada 89144 – UNANIMOUS with MACK not voting

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:18 – 1:19)
3-2895

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK**DIRECTOR:** BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

BOARDS & COMMISSIONS

CONSERVATION DISTRICT OF SOUTHERN NEVADA (CDSN) BOARD – Dirick Van Gorp – Term Expires 3-17-2001

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

In accordance with NRS 548.283 (1), the governing bodies of the incorporated cities within Clark County may appoint one representative to serve as supervisor on the Conservation District of Southern Nevada (CDSN) Board. Each supervisor shall serve for a term of two years. The CDSN is requesting that the City Council renominate Mr. Van Gorp to continue his service on this Board and has provided a letter of recommendation. The North Las Vegas City Council nominated Mr. Van Gorp at their Council Meeting of February 7, 2001, Boulder City has scheduled this for consideration at their February 13, 2001 Council Meeting and Henderson is anticipating placing this item on their February 20, 2001 agenda.

RECOMMENDATION:

Options are: The Las Vegas City Council nominate Mr. Dirick Van Gorp to continue service on the Conservation District of Southern Nevada Board OR place another name in nomination for service on this Board.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Letter from Heather Gallo, District Manager, Clark County Conservation District
Current Listing and Authority – Conservation District of Southern Nevada Board

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED as recommended to reappoint DIRICK VAN GORP – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:19)

3-2926

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PUBLIC WORKS**DIRECTOR: RICHARD D. GOECKE**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REPORT FROM REAL ESTATE COMMITTEE - Councilmen Mack and Weekly

Discussion and possible action to grant approval of staff entering into a Second Amendment with the University and Community College System of Nevada, Board of Regents to extend the start and completion dates for their ambulatory care facility and an educational, administrative and research facility located in the Technology Center - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Public Works/Real Estate☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

On April 6, 1994, City Council adopted a resolution to provide up to approximately 11 acres on property in the Las Vegas Technology Center to the University and Community College System of Nevada, Board of Regents (UCCSNBR) for the purpose of the development of a School of Medicine in Las Vegas. In 1998, Council amended the agreement which gave a two (2) year extension for commencing construction. The UCCSNBR is requesting a Second Amendment to the Site Development section of the Gift Agreement to extend the start (December 21, 2001) and completion (December 21, 2003) dates.

RECOMMENDATION:

The Real Estate Committee of 2/20/2001 referred the item to Full Council without a recommendation. Staff recommends that Council discuss this item and easement issues and provide staff direction regarding whether to grant another extension or not on the property

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Second Amendment
2. Site map

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED as recommended, subject to an easement onto the property for a potential billboard – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: Before recessing the morning session, COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD clarified that University of Nevada School of Medicine is associated with the University of Reno; therefore, she did not have to abstain.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001

Public Works

Item 81 – Discussion and possible action to grant approval of staff entering into a Second Amendment with the University and Community College of System of Nevada, Board of Regents to extend the start and completion dates for their ambulatory care facility and an educational, administrative, and research facility located in the Technology Center

MINUTES:

DR. DEAN MILLER, representing UNLV and the Medical School, was present.

LESA CODER, Director, Office of Business Development, stated that the original agreement for the approximate eleven acres at the Las Vegas Technology Park was entered into in April of 1994. One extension of time has been granted on that agreement, and staff is recommending another extension of time for one year. The University of Nevada Las Vegas is anticipating making a submittal through the RFQ (Request for Quotation) process on the 61 acres, which justifies the reason for the petition for an extension of time. She recommended approval, subject to an easement for a future billboard location on this site.

There was no further discussion.

(1:19 – 1:22)

3-2957

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-8 – Allows for the expansion of certain types of nonconforming uses under limited circumstances. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael J. McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

One of the policies that traditionally underlie local zoning regulations is to discourage the expansion of nonconforming uses. However, in some cases in the City, the implementation of this policy has the effect of discouraging the redevelopment and improvement of areas within the City that are in need of improvement. The policy of discouraging the expansion of nonconforming uses is not as compelling when the regulatory change that renders a use nonconforming is a change in the procedure for obtaining the approval of a use (e.g., a special use permit requirement) or a change that relates to the separation of uses—rather than a change to prohibit a use in a particular area altogether. This bill will allow the expansion of nonconforming uses under very limited conditions when the nonconformity results from one of the minor types of regulatory changes listed above.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/21/2001 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 1/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 1/17/2001; First Publication – 2/10/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-8 - First Amendment

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5294 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Recommending
Item 82 – Bill No. 2001-8

MOTION - Continued:

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was clarified with the City Attorney that the subject Bill should have been noted as a First Amendment.

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:22 – 1:23)
3-3131

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-9 – Amends the Zoning Code to allow auto brokers as a conditional use in certain zoning districts. Proposed by: Bob Genzer, Acting Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code presently has no separate zoning category for operations which deal in used vehicles on a wholesale or intermediary basis, but do not engage in retail sales. This bill will establish the category of "auto broker" and allow it to be conducted in commercial and industrial zoning districts as a conditional use, subject to conditions that mitigate any impacts on surrounding properties.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 1/29/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 1/17/2001; First Publication – 2/10/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-9

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5295 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:22)
3-3097

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-10 - Bond ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its General Obligation (limited tax) Medium-Term Public Safety Bonds, Series 2001, in the amount of \$25,000,000, for the purpose of acquiring, constructing and improving fire protection projects of the City - All Wards

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$25,000,000

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Fire Services / Finance

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: tax over-ride

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

On November 7, 2000, the voters of the City of Las Vegas approved an initiative to increase property taxes 9.5 cents to fund fire-related operating costs and capital improvements, including the use of medium-term financing. This ordinance provides for the issuance of General Obligation (limited tax) Medium-Term Public Safety Bonds, Series 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/20/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 2/7/2001; First Publication – 2/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Department of Taxation approval letter
Bill No. 2001-10

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5296 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:23 – 1:24)

3-3157

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-11 - Bond ordinance providing for the issuance by the City of Las Vegas of its General Obligation (Limited Tax) Golf Course Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues), Series 2001, in the amount of \$12,000,000, for the purpose of constructing a municipal golf course - Ward 4 (Brown)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$12,000,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Golf Course / Finance

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Golf Course Green Fees

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The 2001 Golf Course Bond Ordinance authorizes the issuance of general obligation (limited tax) golf course bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues) for the purpose of financing a golf course within the City.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/20/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 2/7/2001; First Publication – 2/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-11

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and **BILL ADOPTED** as recommended as Ordinance No. 5297 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:24 – 1:25)

3-3206

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: FINANCE AND BUSINESS SERVICES

DIRECTOR: MARK R. VINCENT

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT THIS MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-18 – Bond ordinance providing for the issuance of General Obligation (Limited Tax) Sewer and Flood Control Bonds (Additionally Secured by Pledged Revenues) in the maximum aggregate principal amount of \$55,000,000 for the purpose of financing improvements to the City's sewer and drainage system. Sewer portion to benefit entire city; the flood control project to be located in Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$55,000,000

☒

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: Sanitation and Public Works

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Sewer Fees / Regional Flood Control District

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The 2001 Sewer Bond Ordinance authorizes the issuance of general obligation (limited tax) sewer and flood control bonds (additionally secured by pledged revenues) for the purpose of financing improvements to the city's sewer and drainage system. The Regional Flood Control District has signed an interlocal agreement promising to reimburse the cost of the flood control project within approximately 18-48 months.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 2/21/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/20/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Weekly and Mack; First Reading – 2/7/2001; First Publication – 2/9/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-18

MOTION:

WEEKLY - Second Reading and BILL ADOPTED as recommended as Ordinance No. 5298 – UNANIMOUS

Clerk to proceed with second publication

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Recommending
Item 86 – Bill No. 2001-18

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(1:25 – 1:26)
3-3262

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-12 – Requires that a pawnbroker's description of pledged property contain manufacturers as well as other inscribed markings of identification. Proposed by: Mark Vincent, Director of Finance and Business Services

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Requires pawnbrokers to include in their permanent written record of transactions, manufacturer's serial numbers and all other numbers, letters or marks inscribed as identification on pledged property.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 3/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/20/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 2/7/2001; First Publication – 2/24/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-13 – Annexation No. A-0012-00(A) – Property Location: 330 feet east of Puli Road and 660 feet south of Lone Mountain Road; Petitioned By: Sierra Madre Visions; Acreage: Approximately 16.40 acres; Zoned: R-U (County Zoning), U (PCD) (City Equivalent). Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located approximately 330 feet east of Puli Road and 660 feet south of Lone Mountain Road. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 16, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 3/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/20/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 2/7/2001; First Publication – 2/24/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-14 – Replaces the Central Business District Overlay District with the Downtown Overlay District, and adopts design standards related thereto. Proposed by: Bob Genzer, Acting Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Last July the City Council adopted the Las Vegas Downtown Centennial Plan for the downtown area. The Plan included design standards to be implemented in connection with an overlay district. This bill implements the intent of the Plan by adopting the Downtown Overlay District and accompanying standards.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 3/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/20/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 2/7/2001; First Publication – 2/24/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-15 – Amends the Zoning Code to establish standards for the placement and buffering of certain utility boxes and other utility installations. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code does not specifically address the location of larger utility boxes and utility installations. These installations can be unsightly when not properly buffered. Additionally, they can interfere with signage and with the use and enjoyment of trail corridors. The problem has been addressed on an ad hoc basis by condition of approval, but it is now deemed appropriate to incorporate specific placement and buffering standards into the Zoning Code. This bill will accomplish that objective.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 3/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/20/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 2/7/2001; First Publication – 2/24/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-16 – Transfers to the City's Zoning Code (Title 19A) various zoning provisions contained in Title 19. Proposed by: Bob Genzer, Acting Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The current version of the City's Zoning Code was adopted in 1997 as Title 19A of the Municipal Code. Title 19, which contained the zoning regulations up to that point, was retained as a "backup" to address the possibility that regulations from Title 19 might inadvertently have been left out of the new Zoning Code. Since then, several such regulations have been identified. This bill will adopt those regulations as part of Title 19A. Once the bill is adopted, Title 19 can be repealed.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 3/7/2001 City Council meeting as First Amendment pursuant to the 2/20/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 2/7/2001; First Publication – 2/24/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

RECOMMENDING COMMITTEE: BILL ELIGIBLE FOR ADOPTION AT A LATER MEETING:

Bill No. 2001-17 – Designates certain investigators of the Department of Fire and Rescue as peace officers. Proposed by: Virginia Valentine, City Manager

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will designate certain investigators of the Department of Fire and Rescue as peace officers, in accordance with State law.

RECOMMENDATION:

ADOPTION at 3/7/2001 City Council meeting pursuant to the 2/20/2001 Recommending Committee.

Committee: Councilmen Mack and Weekly; First Reading – 2/7/2001; First Publication – 2/24/2001

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

None

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

Recommendation noted.

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-19 – Ordinance Creating Special Improvement District No. 1473 - Ann Road (US-95 Freeway to Allen Lane) Sponsored by: Step Requirement

Fiscal Impact

☐

No Impact

Amount: \$ 421,517.83

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division: PW/SID

☒

Augmentation Required

Funding Source: Capital Projects Fund - Special Assessments

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Installation of pavement, medians and/or center left turn lanes, storm drain facilities, traffic control devices, curb, and gutter, sidewalk, streetlights, residential and commercial driveways, sewer laterals and water laterals. Costs will be recovered over a 10-year period through the levy and collection of special assessments.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-19

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

3/5/2001 Recommending Committee

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

(1:26 – 1:28)

3-3325

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY**DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-20 – Annexation No. A-0012-99(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue; Petitioned By: City of Las Vegas; Acreage: Approximately 5.17 acres; Zoned: R-E and PF (County Zoning), U (ML) and C-V (City Equivalents); Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact☒**No Impact****Amount:**☐**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:**☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:****PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the northwest corner of Fort Apache Road and Gilmore Avenue. The annexation is at the request of the City, as lessee, with the concurrence of the Bureau of Land Management as owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 30, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-20 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

3/5/2001 Recommending Committee

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

(1:26 – 1:28)

3-3325

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-21 – Annexation No. A-0019-00(A) – Property Location: On the northwest corner of Ann Road and Durango Drive; Petitioned By: Ann/Durango Holding, LLC; Acreage: 5.39 acres; Zoned: R-E (County Zoning), U (DR) (City Equivalent); Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The proposed ordinance annexes certain real property located on the northwest corner of Ann Road and Durango Drive. The annexation is at the request of the property owner. The annexation process has now been completed in accordance with the NRS and the final date of annexation (March 30, 2001) is set by this ordinance.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-21 and Location Map

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

3/5/2001 Recommending Committee

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

(1:26 – 1:28)

3-3325

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-22 – Prohibits the operation of an escort bureau from a residential zone.

Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will prohibit the operation of an escort bureau from a residential zone. In addition, it will require such a business to be actually conducted from a fixed business location.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-22

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

3/5/2001 Recommending Committee

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC requested that this matter be fast tracked.

(1:26 – 1:28)

3-3325

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-23 – Prohibits the operation of an outcall entertainment referral service business from a residential zone. Sponsored by: Councilwoman Lynette Boggs McDonald

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will prohibit the operation of an outcall entertainment referral service business from a residential zone. In addition, it will require such a business to be actually conducted from a fixed business location.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-23

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

3/5/2001 Recommending Committee

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

CITY ATTORNEY JERBIC requested that this matter be fast tracked.

(1:26 – 1:28)

3-3325

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-24 – Allows additional medical-related uses in the C-PB Zoning District.

Sponsored by: Councilman Larry Brown

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

Over the last few years, property in the C-PB (Planned Business Park) Zoning District has been approved for development with a variety of medical uses. The Zoning Code has not been updated to reflect that type of development in business parks. This bill will update the Zoning Code accordingly.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-24

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

3/5/2001 Recommending Committee

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

(1:26 – 1:28)

3-3325

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-25 – Revises the setback requirements for patio covers. Proposed by: Bob Genzer, Acting Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount: q

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

This bill will revise the Zoning Code setback requirements as they pertain to patio covers. Currently, patio covers have the same setback as the main structure, with the ability to extend further into the setback with the consent of neighboring property owners. This bill will simplify and standardize the requirements, and reduce staff work, by establishing a 15-foot setback for patio covers in the U, R-A and R-E Zoning Districts, and a 5-foot setback in the remaining residential districts.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-25

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

3/5/2001 Recommending Committee

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

(1:26 – 1:28)

3-3325

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-26 – Establishes development standards for accessory structures in commercial and industrial zoning districts. Proposed by: Bob Genzer, Acting Director of Planning and Development

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code presently contains little in the way of development standards for accessory structures in commercial and industrial zoning districts. This bill will establish a list of such standards.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-26

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

3/5/2001 Recommending Committee

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

(1:26 – 1:28)

3-3325

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY ATTORNEY

DIRECTOR: BRADFORD R. JERBIC

☐

CONSENT

☒

DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

NEW BILL:

Bill No. 2001-27 – Amends the Zoning Code to provide for the expiration of Site Development Plans. Sponsored by: Councilman Michael Mack

Fiscal Impact

☒

No Impact

Amount:

☐

Budget Funds Available

Dept./Division:

☐

Augmentation Required

Funding Source:

PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:

The Zoning Code currently does not address the duration of an approved Site Development Plan. In cases where development is delayed, conditions may change and a Site Development Plan may no longer be appropriate—at least without further review. This bill will establish a default two-year limit on Site Development Plans, subject to extension where applied for and approved.

RECOMMENDATION:

This bill should be submitted to a Recommending Committee for review, hearing and recommendation to the City Council for final action.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

Bill No. 2001-27

MOTION:

None required.

MINUTES:

First Reading – Referred – COUNCILMEN WEEKLY and MACK

3/5/2001 Recommending Committee

3/7/2001 Council Agenda

(1:26 – 1:28)

3-3325

THE MORNING SESSION RECESSED AT 1:28 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

Any items from the afternoon session that the Council, staff, and/or the applicant wishes to be stricken or held in abeyance to a future meeting may be brought forward and acted upon at this time

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 134 [Z-0106-00] and Item 135 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 5/2/2001, Item 138 [Z-0115-00] to 4/4/2001 and Item 142 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 143 [Z-0126-00] to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Astoria Homes for Item 134 [Z-0106-00] and Item 135 [Z-0106-00(1)]. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO explained that COUNCILMAN MACK requested that these items be held in abeyance to give the applicant an opportunity to meet with the adjacent neighbors to discuss their concerns and make substantial revisions to the plans. Holding these items to May 2, 2001, will give the applicant enough time to submit the plans to the Planning staff and be prepared to make a presentation to the City Council.

There was no further discussion.

(2:01 – 2:03)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 4333 Fox Point Drive. PROPERTY OWNER: MISTY D. WELLS AND STEPHEN R. EBELING, JR. - Ward 6 (Mack)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,901.18☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding, removing all broken glass, and cleaning the vacant house, removal of all litter, debris, junk, landscape trimmings, etc., from all yards and the driveway and posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,901.18 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treasurer.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 103 – 4333 Fox Point Drive

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property, which was open and accessible. The property was secured and all debris removed. The property was a source of concern to the Fire and Police Departments because of the hazardous conditions. The property was declared in violation and legal notification started. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem. He recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,901.18 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the Clark County Treasurer.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:03 – 2:05)

5-83

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 2214 Fairfield Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: HOUSE PARTNERSHIP - Ward 3 (Reese)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,666.70☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding and cleaning the vacant building, securing both sheds and posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,666.70 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treasurer.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:**REESE – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS**

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 104 – 2214 Fairfield Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property, which was open and accessible. The property was secured by boarding it and removing the debris, as well as posting a no trespassing sign. The property was a source of concern to the Fire and Police Departments because of the hazardous conditions. The property was declared in violation and legal notification started. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired Weaver Construction to abate the problem. He recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,666.70 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the Clark County Treasurer.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN REESE requested that staff expedite drafting the ordinance relating to boarded buildings. This item is an example of what needs to be done in order to attract new development downtown.

(2:05 – 2:07)

5-144

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: NEIGHBORHOOD SERVICES**DIRECTOR: SHARON SEGERBLOM**☐**CONSENT**☒**DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

Public hearing to consider the report of expenses to recover costs for abatement of a dangerous building located at 1302 Stewart Avenue. PROPERTY OWNER: HOME EQUITY SERVICES ASSOCIATES - Ward 5 (Weekly)

Fiscal Impact☐**No Impact****Amount:** \$1,766.40☒**Budget Funds Available****Dept./Division:** Neighborhood Services/Response☐**Augmentation Required****Funding Source:** General Fund**PURPOSE/BACKGROUND:**

The subject property was corrected by securing, boarding and cleaning the vacant house, removing all litter and debris from inside and out, and posting "No Trespassing" signs on the property. The condition of the property was a public hazard and an attractive nuisance. The Department of Neighborhood Services declared the property in violation and started legal notification. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the City Council: 1. Approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,766.40 in order that the above charges be filed and recorded against the property, constituting a special assessment and lien. 2. Authorize that the Notice and Lien of Assessment be duly recorded with the County Treas.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Agenda Memo
2. Location Map
3. Report of Expenses
4. Contractor Disclosure
5. Notice of Public Hearing
6. Chronological List of Events
7. Copy of the Notice and Claim of Lien

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED the action of Neighborhood Services – UNANIMOUS

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Neighborhood Services Department
Item 105 – 1302 Stewart Avenue

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

DAVID SEMENZA, Neighborhood Services, presented a video of the subject property, which was open and accessible. The property was secured by boarding it and removing the debris, as well as posting a no trespassing sign. The property was a source of concern to the Fire and Police Departments because of the hazardous conditions. The property was declared in violation and legal notification started. When no corrective action was taken nor an appeal filed, the Department of Neighborhood Services hired K.O. Construction to abate the problem. He recommended that the City Council approve the report of expenses in the amount of \$1,766.40 in order that a Notice and Lien of Assessment be filed and recorded with the Clark County Treasurer.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:07 – 2:09)

5-206

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT
DIRECTOR: ROBERT S. GENZER, ACTING

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT PM SESSION INDEX:

CONSENT AGENDA

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMITS

- 106 **U-0139-95(6)** - Farm & Alexander Properties, Limited Liability Company
107 **U-0140-95(6)** - Farm & Alexander Properties, Limited Liability Company

EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING

- 108 **Z-0069-99(1)** - Farmanali Family Trust

DISCUSSION/ACTION ITEMS

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW

- 109 **Z-0033-97(19)** - Nevada Acquisitions, Limited Liability Company, Et Al, on behalf of Warmington Homes
110 **SD-0075-00** - M W, Limited Liability Company

AMENDMENT TO THE LONE MOUNTAIN MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING

- 111 **Z-0033-97(20)** - Southwest Desert Equities, Et Al, Limited Liability Company on behalf of Warmington Homes

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING

- 112 **Z-0024-99(17)** - City of Las Vegas

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING

- 113 **SD-0076-00** - Jae Sun Kim on behalf of John Staluppi

AMENDMENT TO THE MASTER PLAN OF STREETS & HIGHWAYS - PUBLIC HEARING

- 114 **MSH-0004-00** - GT 95, Limited Liability Company

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING

- 115 **V-0087-99(1)** - Tom Wiesner on behalf of the Draft House Bar and Casino

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Two

INDEX

City Council Meeting of February 21, 2001

- REQUIRED FIVE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 116 **ABEYANCE ITEM - V-0164-95(1)** - Pan Pacific Retail Properties
 - 117 **V-0131-90(2)** - Ronald and Rose Rieger
 - 118 **V-0184-95(1)** - Sundance Partners, Limited Liability Company

- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 119 **V-0069-00** - Land W, Limited Liability Company

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0069-00
- 120 **Z-0010-69(1)** - Land W, Limited Liability Company

- SPECIAL USE PERMITS RELATED TO V-0069-00 & Z-0010-69(1) - PUBLIC HEARING
- 121 **U-0166-00** - Land W, Limited Liability Company
 - 122 **U-0201-00** - Land W, Limited Liability Company
 - 123 **U-0202-00** - Land W, Limited Liability Company

- VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING
- 124 **V-0081-00** - Las Vegas Meadows, Limited
 - 125 **V-0085-00** - Timmy Bartusek

- REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 126 **U-0152-98(2)** - Alm Corporation

- RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION - REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 127 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0238-91(3)** - Union Pacific Railroad Company on behalf of General Outdoor Advertising Company

- REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 128 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0238-91(3)** - Union Pacific Railroad Company on behalf of General Outdoor Advertising Company

- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 129 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0187-00** - Charles Cole
 - 130 **ABEYANCE ITEM - U-0195-00** - Smith-England Trust

- VACATION RELATED TO U-0195-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 131 **ABEYANCE ITEM - VAC-0037-00** - Smith-England Trust

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Three

INDEX

City Council Meeting of February 21, 2001

- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0195-00 & VAC-0037-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 132 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0100-64(176)** - Smith-England Trust
- SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 133 **U-0139-00** - American Stores Properties, Inc., on behalf of Albertson's
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 134 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0106-00** - Michelas, Limited Liability Company
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0106-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 135 **ABEYANCE ITEM - Z-0106-00(1)** - Michelas, Limited Liability Company
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 136 **Z-0113-00** - Electrical & Utility Workers Real Estate Corporation
- SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0113-00(1) - PUBLIC HEARING
- 137 **Z-0113-00(1)** - Electrical & Utility Workers Real Estate Corporation
- REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING
- 138 **Z-0115-00** - Joseph & Sally Warpinski on behalf of Nevada Landscape Corporation
- 139 **Z-0117-00** - International Benevolent Protected Order of Elks of the World
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 140 **GPA-0035-00** - Schnippel Family, Limited Partnership Et Al, on behalf of Nevada H.A.N.D.
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0035-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 141 **Z-0111-00** - Schnippel Family, Limited Partnership, Et Al, on behalf of Nevada H.A.N.D.
- GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
- 142 **GPA-0036-00** - Sahara Westlake Associates
- REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0036-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
- 143 **Z-0112-00** - Sahara Westlake Associates

City of Las Vegas

PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT - Page Four

INDEX

City Council Meeting of February 21, 2001

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
144 **GPA-0041-00** - City of Las Vegas

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0041-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
145 **Z-0109-00** - Clark County School District

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0041-00 & Z-0109-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
146 **Z-0109-00(1)** - Clark County School District

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING
147 **GPA-0042-00** - Clark County School District

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0042-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
148 **Z-0110-00** - Clark County School District

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0042-00 & Z-0110-00 - PUBLIC HEARING
149 **Z-0110-00(1)** - Clark County School District

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - U-0139-95(6) - FARM & ALEXANDER PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a sixth Extension of Time for an approved Special Use Permit FOR A TAVERN IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED RESTAURANT on the southwest corner of Farm Road and Cimarron Road (APN: 125-16-310-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Consent Items 106 through 108 subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:09)

5-260

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 106 – U-0139-95(6)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year after the date of approval of this Extension of Time, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time has been granted.
2. All City Code requirements and all City Departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - U-0140-95(6) - FARM & ALEXANDER PROPERTIES, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a sixth Extension of Time for an approved Special Use Permit FOR THE OFF-PREMISE SALE OF BEER AND WINE AND GASOLINE SALES IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE on the southwest corner of Farm Road and Cimarron Road (APN: 125-16-310-002), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Consent Items 106 through 108 subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:09)
5-260

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 107 – U-0140-95(6)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within one year after the date of approval of this Extension of Time, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless another Extension of Time has been granted.
2. All City Code requirements and all City Departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☒ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

EXTENSION OF TIME - REZONING - Z-0069-99(1) - FARMANALI FAMILY TRUST
- Request for an Extension of Time on an approved Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates)
TO: R-PD3 (Residential Planned Development - 3 Units per Acre) on 9.76 Acres on the
northwest corner of Elkhorn Road and Leon Avenue (APN: 125-13-402-009), Ward 6 (Mack).
The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED Consent Items 106 through 108 subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

There was no discussion.

(2:09)

5-260

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 108 – Z-0069-99(1)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The Zone Change (Z-0069-99) shall expire on December 15, 2001.
2. Conformance to all applicable Conditions of Approval of Zone Change (Z-69-99) as required by the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - Z-0033-97(19) - NEVADA ACQUISITIONS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY, ET AL ON BEHALF OF WARMINGTON HOMES
 - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 349 UNIT MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT on 21.38 Acres on the south side of Alexander Road, approximately 360 feet west of Hualapai Way (APN: 137-12-501-008), U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- **If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.**

– UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

CHRIS ARAMBULA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions. MR. ARAMBULA also concurred with an added condition, which ANDY REED, Planning & Development Department, read into the record.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0033-97(19)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(2:09 – 2:11)

5-267

CONDITIONS:

Public Works

1. Dedicate appropriate right-of-way for a total width of 100 feet adjacent to this site for Hualapai Way prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
2. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Alexander Road and Hualapai Way adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site as required by the Department of Public Works. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site.
3. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site along an acceptable route concurrent with development of this site.
4. Extend public sewer to the southwest corner of this development along an alignment and to a depth acceptable to the Collection Systems Planning Engineer concurrent with development of this site. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way prior to the issuance of any permits as required by the Department of Public Works. Improvement Drawings submitted to the City for review shall not be approved for construction until all required public sewer easements necessary to connect this site to the existing public sewer system have been granted to the City.
5. A site-specific update to the Master Traffic Impact Analysis and an Access Analysis Letter must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Access Analysis Letter shall address the adequacy of the active driveways proposed to service this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine traffic signal contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0033-97(19)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 and #234.2 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1, for dedicated right-turn lanes and dual left turn lanes, shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits or the recordation of a Final Map for this site, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer. No permits shall be issued and no maps shall record for individual lots within the 400-foot Gilmore Channel Corridor until such time as construction of the channel is completed.
7. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
8. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for Z-33-97, the Lone Mountain Master Planned Development and all other site-related actions.

Standard Conditions

9. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 109 – Z-0033-97(19)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

10. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
11. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
12. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).
13. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
14. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
15. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
16. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW AND A WAIVER OF THE REQUIRED LANDSCAPING - **SD-0075-00 - M W, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Appeal filed by Perlman Architects from Condition of Approval No. 3 (regarding landscape planters within the parking lot) imposed by the Planning Commission of a request by M W, Limited Liability Company for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the Required Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 30,088 SQUARE FOOT WAREHOUSE BUILDING at 1220 South Commerce Street (APN: 162-04-506-003), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends that Condition No. 3 remain as imposed by the Planning Commission

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends that Condition No. 3 remain as imposed by the Planning Commission.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – DENIED – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

JAMES GRINDSTAFF, Perlman Architects, 2230 Corporate Circle, Suite 200, Henderson, clarified that after working with CAROL SULLIVAN of Public Works on Condition #4 it was determined that a radius of 15 feet would be able to be dedicated. As far as Condition #3, he stated in order to comply with that condition the existing landscape islands and the parking would have to be torn up. This would affect the number of parking spaces on the site.

ANDY REED, Planning & Development Department, indicated granting the waiver of the landscaping requirements would set a precedent.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 110 – SD-0075-00

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE pointed out that this is the first application to come before the City Council that is within the new Downtown Development Plan and concurred with MR. REED's comments about setting a precedent.

There was no further discussion.

(2:11 – 2:14)
5-327

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

AMENDMENT TO THE LONE MOUNTAIN MASTER PLAN - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0033-97(20) - SOUTHWEST DESERT EQUITIES, ET AL, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY ON BEHALF OF WARMINGTON HOMES - Request to Amend The Lone Mountain Master Plan to reduce Parcel W FROM: 18 Acres TO: 3.2 Acres and to increase the adjacent development parcels accordingly, located along the Gilmore Road Alignment, between Hualapai Way and the Beltway (APN's: 137-12-501-003, 006, 010, 011, 012, 013, 137-12-601-001 and 014), Ward 4 (Brown). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with L.B. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS ARAMBULA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:14 – 2:15)

5-429

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 111 – Z-0033-97(20)

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This approval does not allow an increase in the overall number of dwelling units.

Public Works

2. The 15-foot pedestrian pathway easement shall not encroach into the Gilmore Channel right-of-way, and shall be located, in its entirety, upon the adjacent parcels north of the Gilmore Channel.
3. Site development to comply with all applicable conditions of approval for the Lone Mountain Planned Development (Z-33-97), Z-33-97(19) and all other subsequent site-related actions.

Standard Conditions

4. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR:** **ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REVIEW OF CONDITION - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0024-99(17) - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Review of Condition No. 3 for the Lone Mountain West Master Plan which required the applicant to provide more than 22.14 acres of open space, U (Undeveloped) Zone [PCD (Planned Community Development) General Plan Designation], under Resolution of Intent to PD (Planned Development), APN's: Multiple, Ward 4 (Brown). Staff recommends that the applicant adhere to the open space requirements as shown in table 5, and also pay the residential in lieu of fees at a rate of \$.32 per square foot, not to exceed \$1,000.00 per unit

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:**Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:****Planning Commission Mtg.****Hearing Officer Meeting****City Council Meeting****0****RECOMMENDATION:**

Staff recommends that the applicant adhere to the open space requirements as shown in table 5, and also pay the residential in lieu of fees at a rate of \$.32 per square foot, not to exceed \$1,000.00 per unit.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map – Not Applicable
2. Conditions For This Application – Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

BROWN – APPROVED and amending the application to a rate of \$.36 per square foot -- UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because he has a business relationship with MR. PARKINSON who has some interest in this particular venture

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning & Development Department, clarified for the record that the rate per square foot should reflect \$.36.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 112 – Z-0024-99(17)

MINUTES – Continued:

CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development, discussed with COUNCILMAN BROWN that the issue still seems to be that the Lone Mountain Master Plan had a requirement for 22.16 acres of the original 222 acres to be provided as useable open space. On negotiations the master developer was allowed, through the Councilman's Office and staff to provide 10.5 acres of the 21.06 acres to be developed as a trail system and 5.5 acres to be developed as City park with the remaining portion of 6.14 acres to be developed as pocket parks within individual subdivisions. Staff's position is that allowing the applicant to develop the park and trail in lieu of the total amount of open space was the negotiated agreement and that the issue of in lieu of park fees was never part of that discussion. This applicant should be treated as all other applicants.

MAYOR GOODMAN noted that it was brought to his attention that KEVIN PARKINSON was a part of this application. Due to a business relationship he has with MR. PARKINSON, he would be abstaining on this item.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:15 – 2:18)

5-461

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW - PUBLIC HEARING - **SD-0076-00 - JAE SUN KIM ON BEHALF OF JOHN STALUPPI** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 25,932 SQUARE FOOT AUTO DEALERSHIP (RANCHO NISSAN) on the east side of Rancho Drive, approximately 700 feet north of Lone Mountain Road (APN: 125-35-401-003), C-2 (General Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	4
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – ABEYANCE to 5/16/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MIKE BELLON, Elvsium Enterprises, 7300 Stoney Shore Drive, was present. COUNCILMAN MACK indicated that he received several concerns from adjacent neighbors regarding the project's design and requested that the item be held in abeyance for 90 days to resolve that issue.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:18 – 2:19)

5-564

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

AMENDMENT TO THE MASTER PLAN OF STREETS & HIGHWAYS - PUBLIC HEARING - **MSH-0004-00 - GT 95 LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request to Amend the Master Plan of Streets and Highways to reduce the width of Grand Teton Road from a One-hundred Twenty Foot (120') wide Right-of-Way to a One-Hundred Foot (100') wide Right-of-Way, between US-95 and the Puli Road Alignment, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with GOODMAN abstaining because he has a business relationship with MR. PARKINSON who has some interest in this particular venture

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS ARAMBULA, VTN Nevada, 2727 South Rainbow Boulevard, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

COUNCILMAN MACK discussed with BART ANDERSON, Public Works, that staff does not have a problem with this application. The reduction from 120 to 100 feet would not make any functional change in the roadway. There will be the same number of travel lanes and the only thing that will change is that the median will be reduced from an extra wide 34-foot to a standard 14-foot median.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 114 – MSH-0004-00

MINUTES – Continued:

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR PRO TEM REESE declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:19 – 2:21)

5-598

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0087-99(1) - TOM WIESNER ON BEHALF OF THE DRAFT HOUSE BAR AND CASINO - Required One Year Review on an approved Variance which allowed a 77 square foot addition to a legal, non-conforming sign at 4543 North Rancho Drive (APN: 138-02-202-014), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). The Hearings Officer and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

The Hearings Officer and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB STOCKHOLM, Big Dog Hospitality Group, 3025 Sheridan Street, appeared on behalf of the applicant and stated that the Variance was originally required for the square footage of the sign. The sign originally was built to code and then the code changed, but electronic message units are allowed in this area. The electronic message unit will be dimmed from 11:00 p.m. on. However, the customer has a problem with turning it off.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:21 – 2:23)

5-657

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 115 – V-0087-99(1)

CONDITIONS:

1. The reader board shall be dimmed at 11:00 p.m. and the applicant shall not allow lighting within the approved sign cabinet to flash from 11:00 p.m. to 6:00 a.m.
2. This Variance to add 77 square feet to a legal non-conforming sign shall be reviewed in two years at a Public Hearing.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - REQUIRED FIVE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0164-95(1) - **PAN PACIFIC RETAIL PROPERTIES** - Required Five Year Review of an approved Variance which allowed an existing off-premise advertising (billboard) sign to be raised to 55 feet and to be relocated within 200 feet of a residential zone where 300 feet is the minimum separation required, on property located on the south side of Smoke Ranch Road, east of U.S. 95 (APN: 138-22-503-001), U (Undeveloped) Zone [SC (Service Commercial) General Plan Designation] under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 6 (Mack). The Hearings Officer and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Hearings Officer and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, expressed concern on allowing signs to be raised. COUNCILMAN MACK replied that this is a review process and a new sign is not being raised. He thanked MS. COTA for previously holding this item in abeyance so that issues could be resolved.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 116 – V-0164-95(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:23 – 2:24)

5-710

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Variance shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED FIVE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0131-90(2) - RONALD AND ROSE RIEGER** - Required Five Year Review on an approved Variance which allowed a 14 foot by 48 foot off-premise (billboard) sign to a height of 80 feet where 40 feet is the maximum height allowed at 1405 "A" Street (APN: 139-27-501-003), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Hearings Officer and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

0

0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Hearings Officer and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Outdoor Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that his concern is the maintenance and upkeep of the billboards, especially as it related to signs being taped to billboards.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:24 – 2:26)

5-767

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 117 – V-0131-90(2)

CONDITIONS:

1. This Variance shall be reviewed in four (4) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REQUIRED FIVE YEAR REVIEW - VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - **V-0184-95(1) - SUNDANCE PARTNERS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Required Five Year Review of an approved Variance which allowed a 14 foot x 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) sign to be 144 feet from a residentially zoned property where 300 feet is the minimum separation distance required at 4851 East Bonanza Road (APN: 140-32-502-001), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

JIM LASERVY, Storage One, 1120 Las Vegas Boulevard South, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

M. TAU, 502 Greasewood Drive, stated that the sign is still a tremendous eyesore and it blocks the view of Sunrise Mountain.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, indicated that when he looks out of his back porch he only sees billboard signs blocking Sunrise Mountain from view.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 118 – V-0184-95(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE pointed out that this sign was installed before the 300-foot distance requirement from a mobile home park.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:26 – 2:28)

5-825

CONDITIONS:

1. The Variance shall be reviewed in two (2) years at which time the City Council may require the off-premise sign to be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising sign (billboard) be removed.
2. The off-premise advertising sign (billboard) and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising sign (billboard).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0069-00 – LAND W, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Variance TO ALLOW TWO PROPOSED BUILDINGS TO BE LOCATED 10 FEET FROM A RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY LINE WHERE THE RESIDENTIAL ADJACENCY STANDARDS REQUIRE SETBACKS OF 63 FEET AND 68 FEET on the southwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN: 140-30-701-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	2
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of the applicant and property owner. ATTORNEY GRONAUER indicated that the property is located on the southwest corner of Washington Avenue and Lamb Boulevard and is currently master planned and zoned for commercial uses. He pointed out that he met with the residents of the mobile home park located west of the property, who expressed concerns regarding setback issues with respect to the lube and tune and discount tires, but was unable to obtain a 100% support.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 119 – V-0069-00

MINUTES – Continued:

Therefore, he asked to withdraw without prejudice Special Use Permit Item 122 [U-0201-00] for the discount tires and Item 123 [U-0202-00] for the mini lube. Since the Special Use Permits are being withdrawn without prejudice, the Variance is no longer needed and requested that Item 119 [V-0069-00] be also withdrawn without prejudice. The final proposed project would be a convenience store along with a car wash with beer and wine sales, a project that is appropriate for this commercial intersection.

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard North, stated that there is an existing car wash on the northeast end of Washington Avenue and expressed concern that too many car washes and the golf course will jeopardize the water supply. COUNCILMAN REESE replied that the car washes use recyclable water.

KEN BOYLE, 867 North Lamb Boulevard, expressed concern regarding the Variance request. However, COUNCILMAN REESE replied that that is a moot point because the applicant requested to withdraw that application and clarified for MR. BOYLE that only the convenience store and car wash will be situated at that location.

ATTORNEY MICHAEL WALL, 8831 West Sahara, appeared on behalf of the Three Crowns Mobile Home Park located adjacent to the proposed project. The majority of the residents were opposed to those applications that the applicant requested to withdraw, but do not oppose the convenience store. COUNCILMAN REESE commented that those residents felt they could use a convenience store in this area. As far as those items that were requested to be withdrawn without prejudice, the applicant would need to come back before the City Council for any other type of application and the residents would be notified again.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 120 [Z-0010-69(1)] for additional discussion.

(2:28 – 2:43)

5-902

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO V-0069-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0010-69(1) - LAND W, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the Required Landscaping FOR A PROPOSED 14,635 SQUARE FOOT COMMERCIAL CENTER on the southwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN: 140-30-701-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added conditions:

- The revised Site Development Plan Review shall be administratively reviewed by Planning staff.
- If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

– UNANIMOUS

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was determined by Planning Staff that a mini-warehouse is not part of this project. Therefore Condition #3 is not applicable.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 – Z-0010-69(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, discussed with COUNCILMAN REESE that the site plan approval is needed for the convenience store. ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning & Development Department, concurred adding that the only issue is that the site plan as currently submitted shows the additional two buildings, which were requested to be withdrawn. He suggested that the applicant submit a revised plan to staff showing only the convenience store and the car wash.

ATTORNEY GROUNAUER concurred with COUNCILMAN REESE's request to revise the plan and have staff approve it administratively. MR. GENZER read into the record an additional condition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 119 [V-0069-00] for related discussion.

(2:28 – 2:43)

5-902

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The mini-warehouse shall be setback from the west property line as required by the Residential Adjacency Standards of the Zoning Code. This condition shall be deleted if V-0069-00 is approved by City Council.
2. Minimum 15 feet wide planters with 24-inch box trees spaced 20 feet on center shall be placed along the Washington Avenue and Lamb Boulevard street frontages as required by the City of Las Vegas Urban Design Guidelines and Standards.
3. The gasoline canopy support columns shall have a stucco exterior similar to that depicted on the other on-site structures.
4. Conformance to the Conditions of Approval of Z-10-69 as required by the Planning and Development Department and the Department of Public Works.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 – Z-0010-69(1)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

Public Works

5. Dedicate an additional 29 feet of right-of-way for a total radius of 54 feet on the southwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. Additional public street rights-of-way shall be dedicated in accordance with the approved Traffic Impact Analysis.
6. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on Washington Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
7. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
8. Coordinate with the Collection Systems Planning Section of the Department of Public Works to determine appropriate public sewer connection points and/or alignments to service this site, prior to the submittal of sewer construction drawings. Site development shall comply with the recommendations of the Collection Systems Planning Section.
9. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in redesigning the proposed driveway and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a as required by the Department of Public Works.
10. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall address the possible need for the construction and/or modification of the median in Lamb Boulevard. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 – Z-0010-69(1)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

11. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

Standard Conditions

12. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan and building elevations as amended by the above conditions.
13. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
14. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
15. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 120 – Z-0010-69(1)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

16. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City departments must be satisfied.
17. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
18. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
19. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0069-00 & Z-0010-69(1) - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0166-00 – LAND W, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION AND GASOLINE SALES IN CONJUNCTION WITH A PROPOSED CONVENIENCE STORE on the southwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN: 140-30-701-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

NOTE: Subsequent to the meeting it was determined that the zoning numbers in Condition #1 are incorrect. The correct zoning number is Z-0010-69(1).

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 – U-0166-00

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 119 [V-0069-00], Item 120 [Z-0010-69(1), Item 121 [U-0166-00], Item 122 [U-0201-00] and Item 123 [U-0202-00] was held under Item 119 [V-0069-00] and Item 120 [Z-0010-69(1)].

(2:28 – 2:43)

5-902

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Conformance to the conditions of approval of Z-0084-00 and Z-0084-00 (1).
2. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two (2) years after the approval by City Council, then this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. Underground fuel storage tanks and associated pipelines shall be of double-wall construction or shall incorporate a form of “secondary containment” to limit the potential releases of fuel to the environment.
4. The gas station shall incorporate a continuous leak detection system to monitor for potential fuel releases.
5. No vehicle shall be parked on the premises for the purposes of offering the vehicle for sale.
6. No used or discarded automotive parts or equipment or disabled, junked, or wrecked vehicles shall be located in any open area outside the main structure.
7. Noise from bells or loudspeakers shall not be audible beyond the property line at any time.
8. The installation of LPG tanks shall only be permitted as allowed in Section 19A.04.060(B) of the Zoning Code.
9. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 121 – U-0166-00

CONDITIONS – Continued:

10. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.

Standard Conditions

11. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0069-00 & Z-0010-69(1) - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0201-00 - LAND W, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY - Appeal filed by Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Land W, Limited Liability Company for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED AUTO PARTS (ACCESSORY SALES, SERVICE AND INSTALLATION) BUSINESS (DISCOUNT TIRE) on the southwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN: 140-30-701-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	2
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 122 – U-0201-00

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 119 [V-0069-00], Item 120 [Z-0010-69(1)], Item 121 [U-0166-00], Item 122 [U-0201-00] and Item 123 [U-0202-00] was held under Item 119 [V-0069-00] and Item 120 [Z-0010-69(1)].

(2:28 – 2:43)

5-902

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR:** **ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SPECIAL USE PERMIT RELATED TO V-0069-00 & Z-0010-69(1) - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0202-00 - **LAND W, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Appeal filed by Kummer Kaempfer Bonner & Renshaw from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Land W, Limited Liability Company for a Special Use Permit FOR A PROPOSED MINOR AUTO REPAIR FACILITY (MINI LUBE) on the southwest corner of Lamb Boulevard and Washington Avenue (APN: 140-30-701-003), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	2
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – WITHDRAWN WITHOUT PREJUDICE – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 123 – U-0202-00

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 119 [V-0069-00], Item 120 [Z-0010-69(1)], Item 121 [U-0166-00], Item 122 [U-0201-00] and Item 123 [U-0202-00] was held under Item 119 [V-0069-00] and Item 120 [Z-0010-69(1)].

(2:28 – 2:43)

5-902

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: **ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0081-00 - LAS VEGAS MEADOWS, LIMITED -
 Appeal filed by ET Consultants, Inc., from the Denial by the Planning Commission of a request by Las Vegas Meadows, Limited, for a Variance TO ALLOW THE PLACEMENT OF EIGHT MOBILE/MANUFACTURED HOMES WITHIN AN EXISTING MOBILE HOME PARK FOR THE PURPOSE OF DISPLAY AND SALE WHERE ONLY ONE MODEL IS ALLOWED at 2900 South Valley View Boulevard (APN: 162-08-201-003), R-MHP (Residential-Mobile Home Park) Zone, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Hearings Officer and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	
Hearing Officer Meeting	3
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	
Hearing Officer Meeting	0
City Council Meeting	1

RECOMMENDATION:

The Hearings Officer and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: A leaflet advertising new model homes for sale

MOTION:

M. McDONALD –DENIED the Appeal; thereby DENYING the Variance – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CHRIS HAGEN, Vice President ET Consultants, appeared on behalf of the applicant. He stated that the denial is being contested because it is hard to be successful having one model in the home selling business and it is a necessity to be able to display different models; one to three bedrooms options with dens and workshops. Other housing industries are allowed to do multiple models based on the different floor plans or variations that are being sold.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 124 – V-0081-00

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. HAGEN asked for the ability to display more homes in order to fill vacancies that have occurred over the last couple of years. Currently, there are 20 vacancies due to the age of some of the existing mobile homes. The Housing and Urban Development has approved a new product line that is more desirable.

COUNCILMAN REESE asked MR. HAGEN why this type of commercial endeavor is located in a residential neighborhood when other housing tracks have the ability to sell homes in places such as Boulder Highway. MR. HAGEN replied that street dealers, as they are referred to, do exist and home sales will exist whether a dealer does it or whether home owners do it themselves. Any individual homeowner in the community can put their home up for sale and invite the commercial traffic to tour the home. The dealers that sell homes out on Boulder Highway are also licensed dealers that can take a listing as a broker and advertise for the sale of that individual home as well.

DAVID HAULT, Las Vegas Meadows Mobile Home Park, 2900 South Valley View Boulevard, #94, appeared in opposition of the application stating that the applicant might be trying to sell homes to people who do not want to live in that park. Secondly, the applicant is doing business that has been off limit for business, according to the mobile home rules, for 14 years.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, stated that allowing eight models would convert this mobile home park into a dealership, possibly requiring a zone change.

MARILYN HAULT, Las Vegas Meadows Mobile Home Park, submitted for the record a leaflet she found in her mailbox advertising new models for sale. The leaflet also suggests that the age limit could be at least 40 years of age.

MR. HAGEN clarified that they have been a licensed dealership to operate outside of the community for quite some time. Recently, they have installed new homes under the same licensed dealership and have the ability to sell new homes to their customers.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed that a City inspector look into whether the applicant is complying with and has not violated the City Code.

(2:43 – 2:51)

5-1457

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

VARIANCE - PUBLIC HEARING - V-0085-00 - TIMMY BARTUSEK - Appeal filed by Timmy Bartusek from the Denial by the Hearings Officer of his request for a Variance TO ALLOW AN EXISTING CARPORT 4 FEET 6 INCHES FROM THE FRONT PROPERTY LINE WHERE 20 FEET IS THE MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK REQUIRED, AND 0 FEET FROM THE SIDE PROPERTY LINE WHERE 5 FEET IS THE MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK REQUIRED AT 5909 Glen Eagles Lane (APN: 138-25-113-052), R-1 (Single Family Residential) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Hearings Officer and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	
Hearing Officer Meeting	0
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	
Hearing Officer Meeting	0
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Hearings Officer and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Seven support letters and a petition with nine signatures in support
5. Submitted at meeting: A photograph of the existing carport

MOTION:

WEEKLY – Granted the Appeal; thereby APPROVING the Variance subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

TIM BARTUSEK, 5909 Glen Eagles Lane, explained that the existing carport was built approximately 29 months ago and has since spoken with adjacent and surrounding neighbors for their support or opposition. He submitted seven support letters and a petition with nine signatures in support.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 125 – V-0085-00

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN commented that during his briefing, he was told that MR. BARTUSEK's neighbors are very supportive. MR. BARTUSEK replied that he takes great pride in his property and the neighborhood itself. He also submitted a photograph of the existing carport.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, asked whether an ordinance was approved stating that anything built prior to January 2000 would be grandfathered. COUNCILMAN REESE, as well as MAYOR GOODMAN replied that that ordinance is still being looked into and that the City Manager was directed to prepare such an ordinance. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY further stated that the ordinance is scheduled for an upcoming meeting. MR. FARLOW suggested that structures like carports should be left to the neighbors to decide.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he had an opportunity to work with MR. BARTUSEK and that he has one of the nicest homes on Glen Eagles Lane. He is very active and very well respected in his neighborhood.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:51 – 2:54)

5-1759

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. The applicant shall obtain the proper building permit for the carport from the Department of Building and Safety.
2. If this Variance is not exercised within two years from date of approval by the Hearings Officer it will become void unless an Extension of Time is granted by the Hearings Officer.
3. City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments which are not affected by approval of this Variance must be satisfied.

Public Works

4. The existing carport must remain open on all sides such as not to create sight visibility problems.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REQUIRED REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0152-98(2) - ALM CORPORATION** - Required Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed package liquor sales in conjunction with a convenience store at the intersection of Vegas Drive and Rainbow Boulevard (APN: 138-23-401-005), Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.

Hearing Officer Meeting

City Council Meeting

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

The applicant was not present.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that there is always a concern when there is an application for packaged liquor as it relates to convenience stores. However, there have been no complaints with this particular convenience store. The store is very well kept and the applicant has complied with all the original conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 126 – U-0152-98(2)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:54 – 2:55)

5-1890

CONDITIONS:

1. Conformance to all applicable Conditions of Approval for Rezoning Z-57-91 and Special Use Permit U-152-98, with the exception that no further reviews are required.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - RESCIND PREVIOUS ACTION - REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0238-91(3) - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ON BEHALF OF GENERAL OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Required One Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a 14 foot x 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) sign on the south side of the Oran K. Gragson Highway (U.S. 95) between "F" Street and Main Street (APN: 139-27-401-025), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). Staff has no recommendation for this item

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff has no recommendation for this item.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - APPROVED the Rescission - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 127 – U-0238-91(3)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.
(2:55 – 2:57)
5-1946

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - REQUIRED ONE YEAR REVIEW - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0238-91(3) - UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ON BEHALF OF GENERAL OUTDOOR ADVERTISING COMPANY** - Required One Year Review on an approved Special Use Permit which allowed a 14 foot x 48 foot off-premise advertising (billboard) sign on the south side of the Oran K. Gragson Highway (U.S. 95) between "F" Street and Main Street (APN: 139-27-401-025), M (Industrial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign shall be subject to a one-time relocation if needed for future development of this site. The cost of relocation to be covered by the sign company.

- UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

KELLEEN COTA, Lamar Advertising, 1863 Helm Drive, appeared on behalf of the applicant and concurred with staff's conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 128 – U-0238-91(3)

MINUTES – Continued:

ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning & Development Department, stated that this billboard has been identified as one of the three boards that are currently located on the 10-acre parcel under consideration for sale. It was originally approved and it was put back on the agenda in order to add an additional condition, which has been discussed with the Office of Business Development. MR. GENZER explained that the added condition would become Condition #2 and Condition #2 would become Condition #3. He read the added condition into the record and MS. COTA concurred with the addition.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:55 – 2:57)

5-2003

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. This Special Use Permit shall be reviewed in one (1) year at which time the City Council may require the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign be removed. The applicant shall be responsible for notification costs of the review. Failure to pay the City for these costs may result in a requirement that the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign is removed.
2. The off-premise advertising (billboard) sign and its supporting structure shall be properly maintained and kept free of graffiti at all times. Failure to perform the required maintenance may result in fines and/or removal of the off-premise advertising (billboard) sign.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0187-00** - **CHARLES COLE** - Request for a Special Use Permit and Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 2,400 SQUARE FOOT CHILDCARE CENTER at 5611 North Cimarron Road (APN: 125-28-801-015), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="7"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="70"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (7-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Area map showing MR. and MRS. COLE's trips around the neighborhood to determine the neighborhoods feelings on the matter
5. Submitted at meeting: A 34-signature petition in support

MOTION:

MACK - DENIED - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

GREG BORGEL, 300 South Fourth Street, appeared on behalf of CHARLES COLE and his family, who intend to operate the child care facility at the proposed site. MR. BORGEL concurred with all of the conditions, as recommended by the Planning Commission and is willing to work with staff on any design issues. This location conforms to the City Code, as to appropriate location for child care centers and it has been determined as a matter of law and

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 129 – U-0187-00

MINUTES – Continued:

policy that these uses do not require zoning. They are under a use permit and similar to churches, schools and parks, they are assumed to be acceptable in a generally residential area. Typically the City has been attentive to locating these sites on streets and locations that can serve it from a traffic standpoint. Cimarron Road is an 80-foot wide street that will carry significant traffic to other uses further north. Both Cimarron Road and Ann Road have a residential character, which the Council is intent on maintaining. MR. BORGEL reiterated that a childcare center serving residents is an appropriate use in a residential area. The fear that somehow a daycare center will trigger a commercial development at this site is simply false. Actually, a daycare center rules out some commercial uses, such as liquor uses.

MR. BORGEL submitted an area map showing the applicant's trips around the neighborhood to determine the neighbors' feelings on this matter. The site is marked in green. The neighbors who expressed approval are in the immediate area colored in yellow. He also submitted a petition with 34 signatures in support. He further stated that 78 support letters were presented at the Planning Commission meeting.

THOMAS F. FIORE, 8229 Shad Bush Avenue, pointed out that the 2400 square foot proposed facility is actually only a 1200 square foot facility. The second floor will be closed off completely. To have 40 children and five adults in a 1200 square foot facility is unsanitary and unhealthy. The proposed childcare center would bring 75 to 90 cars, causing congestion and noise pollution. Most likely the owners of the adjacent property would try to file for commercial zoning. The area residents have fought hard to keep this area residential and there is already an existing childcare center directly across the street.

MS. MARTINA, 7645 Rolling View Drive, #202, concurred with staff's recommendation for denial. She recalls that at the Planning Commission meeting, it was stated that only the adjacent lot would probably be affected. However, she is concerned about the three vacant lots in the rear of the proposed project because they would be affected as well. These lots face the land on Leggett Road already zoned for commercial. The speculators come in and acquire the residential land much cheaper than they can commercial land and then proceed to ask for rezoning and the intended land use goes out the window. She does not oppose childcare, but it should not be in this home. This change will have a tremendous impact on the area along Ann Road. Additionally, Cimarron Road is not a major arterial. It dead-ends at Town Center. Approving this application would lead towards a large commercial development. MS. MARTINA requested that the Council keep to the Town Center plan, which was to centralize commercial and not allow it in residential areas.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 129 – U-0187-00

MINUTES – Continued:

VIVIAN TAIBI, 8227 Shad Bush Avenue, does not oppose a childcare center. However, several are already located within a mile. Cimarron Rose Track Break Center was recently built specifically for childcare and the proposed center would be in competition with the City's revenues. She is concerned that approving this application would leave an opening for the empty R-E lots becoming commercial use.

MIRIAN EEN, 217 South Torrey Pines, stated that she recently fought a similar situation in her neighborhood where an out-of-state investor's purchase of the property was contingent upon there being able to be some commercial aspect to the property. The plan was that when the freeway was widened and part of that apartment complex demolished, a strip mall would be built there. Spot zoning should not be in a residential neighborhood.

MR. BORGEL replied that MR. and MRS. COLE are not speculators as described by the prior speakers. On the contrary, they are people who want to operate a daycare center and have no interest in the surrounding property. They would be pleased if the Council denies anyone who comes in for a commercial use around them. This use will preserve the residential neighborhood because it is allowed by law in a residential neighborhood. Childcare centers should not be forced out to the middle of Town Center or the middle of a commercial center. Additionally, the use of the 1200 square feet is positive, not negative because child welfare would only allow having the number of children based on the square footage used. The second floor can only be used as office space. This application would not foster any commercial development, but rather deter other applications for uses such as a convenience market. Additionally, Cimarron Road is a collector street that will be 80-foot wide designed to handle traffic, unlike Leggett Road, which is a 60-foot street making it difficult for it to be developed. He understands the fear of commercialization, but these people have been successful in opposing commercial zone changes and the Council has supported them and can continue to deny commercial zoning. If anything, MR. and MRS. COLE are helping the City in that role by putting a childcare center at the proposed location.

MR. BORGEL clarified with COUNCILMAN BROWN that MR. and MRS. COLE do not presently reside in the property. COUNCILMAN BROWN discussed with ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning & Development Department, that if in fact the applicant would only be using the 1200 square feet, that there would only be a maximum of 34 children. However, MR. GENZER added that he is not certain how licensing would look at that. MR. BORGEL commented that they would stipulate that only the ground floor square footage would be used in calculating the number of allowed daycare students. MR. GENZER further added that by condition, the second floor could be restricted to office use only, as it relates to this particular Special Use Permit.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 129 – U-0187-00

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN questioned the Planning Commission justification for their unanimous approval. It seems that this land has come through the Council on a few occasions and he is concerned that people are going after those residentially zoned properties to get special use permits for these types of allowable facilities. If the City remains true to approving only single family detached uses, residential zoning with no special use permits, a homebuilder can come in and assemble this one acre parcel combined with the other vacant parcel and build a nice compatible project. COUNCILMAN BROWN referenced the staff's findings of incompatibility are accurate because this has all the makings of opening up the doors to commercial use. He disagreed with MR. BORGEL's statement that the site in itself could be looked at independently because as soon as special use permits are allowed the opportunity of building a compatible neighborhood in that vacant land is destroyed.

COUNCILMAN MACK stated that he is not impressed with the plot plan and the willingness of the applicant to work with the neighborhood regarding this use. There seems to be no change to the character of the building and the use is not compatible with the character of this neighborhood.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(2:59– 3:19)

5-2077

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR:** ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - **U-0195-00** - **SMITH-ENGLAND TRUST** - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR A 270-UNIT MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT located at 440 Hoover Avenue (APN: 139-34-410-141 and 163), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="1"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS

NOTE: It was determined at the City Council meeting that the correct number of units is 282 including 6 living/working lofts.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

WILL NEWBURN, President of Tom Hom Group, 204 8th Avenue, explained that the proposed project is located at Las Vegas Boulevard and Hoover Avenue and it consists of commercial space fronting Las Vegas Boulevard, six live/work lofts, which are a new concept for the Downtown Las Vegas area, along Hoover Avenue and 280 SRO (Single Residential Occupancy) residential units that will be built above the live/work lofts, as well as two on-site manager's units. ARCHITECT ROB QUIGLEY designed the project. PHILIP REJESKI, PRE Engineering, was also present.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 130 – U-0195-00

MINUTES – Continued:

MR. QUIGLEY presented a rendering of the project that will have retail along Las Vegas Boulevard on the ground level, an entrance in mid-block to the apartment complex, six live/work, two-story units that can be used by artists, commercial or residential tenants, and above there would be SRO rooms. The building mass is broken down into three components and each will have a different color.

MR. NEWBURN discussed with MAYOR GOODMAN that Campaigne Place is doing great and that one third of the tenants that have actually moved in are from outside of the downtown area. It is an exciting fact to see that new projects are bringing new residents into downtown.

MR. NEWBURN referred to the parking for the proposed project and stated that there are 78 parking spaces on the site. At Campaigne Place 12% of the tenants have cars and are utilizing the parking that is available there. With 282 units at Kirby Lofts, if the same ratio is considered, 34 parking spaces will be needed. If two spaces per live/work unit are added, that would be an additional 12 spaces, for a total of 46 parking spaces. That would leave 32 spaces available for employees of the property, the commercial tenant, its employees and customers. The only parking issue will probably be during the construction period when it will be hard to control where the subcontractors park.

JACOB CARTER, 3008 Astoria Pines Circle, appeared on behalf of Dona Maria Restaurant and stated that they welcome any type of development that will bring business downtown. However, they currently have a problem with non-patrons who park in their parking lot. He is concerned that a project of this size would add to the parking problem. MAYOR GOODMAN discussed with SHARON SEGERBLOM, Director of Neighborhood Services, the possibility of acquiring property downtown to be used for parking. MS. SEGERBLOM replied that the Tom Hom Group is working on a staging area located on 6th Street for a potential long-term lease. Additionally, staff is diligently looking for some other type of parking space that the adjacent businesses could use.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he had an opportunity to see a similar project in California. However, he is concerned about parking and, as the City works toward redevelopment in the downtown area, he does not want this issue to discourage existing business owners. He appreciates MS. SEGERBLOM looking into the possibility of acquiring other parking spaces. MS. SEGERBLOM added that Code Enforcement has been issuing citations to people who have been parking on unpaved parking lots. In answer to COUNCILMAN REESE's question, she indicated that Campaigne Place parking spaces are 88% full.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 130 – U-0195-00

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE commented that he was very demanding when Campaigne Place was first approved, as it related to parking. The applicant complied with the City Code parking requirement. However, Campaigne Place off-site parking is never full. COUNCILMAN REESE added that for this particular application, a condition might be added that if it were found that cars are being parked on the street or other areas, parking would need to be provided at another location. He wants to protect Dona Maria Restaurant and suggested that some type of policing occur and ticket anyone who parks in their parking lot, other than their patrons.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, appeared in support of the applicant and indicated that he has lived in SROs for 11 years and usually people who live in SROs do not own cars. Usually, off-site parking is provided.

MR. CARTER asked what would happen to the power lines located directly in the middle of Dona Maria's property during the construction phase. MR. NEWBURN replied that they would be relocated. MR. REJESKI added that the City sewer, gas, water, as well as electrical lines would be rerouted around the alley by the individual utility companies. In fact, one of the requests is to increase the time of vacation from one year to two years because the utility companies will not allow them to vacate those alleys until those utilities are moved. MR. REJESKI discussed with MAYOR GOODMAN that the owners of Dona Maria can be assured that their business will continue operating during the course of construction.

MR. CARTER further expressed concern that if the utility lines will be installed underground, it might cut the restaurant's parking in half. MR. REJESKI replied that the existing utilities are underground, except for the electrical and telephone, which will be put underground. Currently, there is a utility easement that runs from Hoover Avenue all the way to Charleston Boulevard and the utilities that run underground actually run through their parking lot now. Those will not change.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that he supports the project, but is concerned about the parking. He believes that the restaurant will be impacted by this project because people will park in their parking lot. They probably would need to hire a towing company to tow away vehicles.

ANTHONY HODGES, 3640 Las Vegas Boulevard North, verified with MR. NEWBURN that the applicant would pay for the cost of relocating the utilities.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 130 – U-0195-00

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY indicated that the project will be a great enhancement, but parking is still an issue. Once the retail component comes in, that problem would need to be resolved and he did not hear a concrete answer as to how it will be resolved. He appreciated MS. SEGERBLOM pointing out the possibility of looking at another possible site to ease the parking burden.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See additional related discussion under Item 131 [VAC-0037-00] and Item 132 [Z-0100-64(176)].

(3:19 – 3:46)
5-3047/6-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
2. Compliance with all conditions of approval for the Vacation (VAC-0037-00) and Site Development Plan Review [Z-0100-64(176)].
3. All City Code requirements and all City departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - VACATION RELATED TO U-0195-00 - PUBLIC HEARING -
VAC-0037-00 - SMITH-ENGLAND TRUST - Request for a Petition to vacate the public
sewer easement generally located between Las Vegas Boulevard and 4th Street, south of Hoover
Avenue, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend
APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- **Reservation of easements for the facilities of the various utility companies together with reasonable ingress thereto and egress therefrom shall be provided if required.**

- UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

WILL NEWBURN, President of Tom Hom Group, 204 8th Avenue, was present together with ARCHITECT ROB QUIGLEY and PHILIP REJESKI, PRE Engineering.

ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning & Development, requested that the standard condition that requires reservations of easements for the utilities be included. MR. NEWBURN concurred.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 131 – VAC-0037-00

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See additional related discussion under Item 130 [U-0195-00] and Item 132 [Z-0100-64(176)].

(3:19 – 3:46)

5-3047/6-1

CONDITIONS:

1. A sanitary sewer relocation plan must be submitted to and approved by the City Engineer prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation; comply with all recommendations of the approved plan. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way and construct all required improvements necessary to relocate the existing public sewer prior to the recordation of the Order of Vacation. Also, continuous sewer service to upstream parcels shall be maintained at all times. *(Public Works)*
2. All public improvements, if any, adjacent to and in conflict with this vacation application are to be modified, as necessary, at the applicant's expense prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. *(Public Works)*
3. The Order of Vacation shall not be recorded until all of the above conditions have been met provided, however, that Condition #2 may be fulfilled for purposes of recordation by providing sufficient security for the performance thereof in accordance with the Subdivision Ordinance of the City of Las Vegas. City Staff is empowered to modify this application if necessary because of technical concerns or because of other related review actions, as long as current City right-of-way requirements are still complied with and the intent of the vacation application is not changed. If applicable, a five-foot wide easement for public streetlight and fire hydrant purposes shall be retained on all vacation actions abutting public street corridors that will remain dedicated and available for public use. Also, if applicable and where needed, public easement corridors and sight visibility or other easements that would/should cross any right-of-way being vacated must be retained. *(Public Works)*
4. All development shall be in conformance with code requirements and design standards of all City departments. *(Planning and Development)*

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 131 – VAC-0037-00

CONDITIONS – Continued:

5. If the Order of Vacation is not recorded within two (2) years after approval by the City Council or the Planning Commission does not grant an Extension of Time, then approval will terminate and a new petition must be submitted. (*Planning and Development*)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO U-0195-00 & VAC-0037-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0100-64(176)** - **SMITH-ENGLAND TRUST** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 120,330 SQUARE FOOT, 270 UNIT MIXED-USE MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT; FOR A WAIVER OF THE DOWNTOWN CENTENNIAL PLAN STREETScape DESIGN AND LANDSCAPE STANDARDS; AND FOR A WAIVER OF THE OFF-STREET PARKING REQUIREMENTS on 0.70 acres located at 440 Hoover Avenue (APN:139-34-410-141 and 163), C-2 (General Commercial) Zone, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY - APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.

- UNANIMOUS

NOTE: It was determined at the City Council meeting that the correct number of units is 282 including 6 living/working lofts.

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 - Z-0100-64(176)

MINUTES – Continued:

WILL NEWBURN, President of Tom Hom Group, 204 8th Avenue, was present together with ARCHITECT ROB QUIGLEY and PHILIP REJESKI, PRE Engineering.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY reiterated his concerns regarding the off-site parking and how it will be implemented. He discussed with MR. NEWBURN the difference between Campaigne Place and Kirby Lofts. Fifteen percent of the units at Kirby Lofts are going to be market-rate units, where anyone making any amount of money will be able to live. One of the other differences is that 100% of the units at Campaigne Place are rent restricted at 60% of median income. Whereas, units have been set-aside at Kirby Lofts, which will be at 50%, but the majority of the rent-restricted units will be at 60%. Those two issues will offset each other. The other difference between the two projects is that Kirby Lofts has the live/work lofts, which will hopefully generate customers for the tenants of those units. There will be shared parking and parking has never been a problem in other similar projects. Additionally, this project will meet its parking needs. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY stated that he hopes the City of Las Vegas will be ready to step in to alleviate the parking problem, if it does occur.

ANDY REED, Planning & Development Department, read into the record an added condition.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked if any contingency plans are available to monitor the parking problem at Dona Maria's Restaurant. MR. NEWBURN replied that they would work with them, especially during the construction phase.

AL GALLEGOS, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that the applicant is required to provide 294 parking spaces and seven handicapped spaces. He asked whether the number of handicapped spaces would remain the same if the parking is reduced. MR. NEWBURN replied that he sees no problem with keeping the seven handicapped spaces. CHRIS GLORE, Planning & Development, explained that the approved site plan proposal was for two or three handicapped spaces. But the number of handicapped spaces were tied to the parking actually being provided, rather than the total amount it would normally be required under Title 19A. MR. GENZER further explained that the ratio is not based on units, but on the number of spaces provided. He suggested that a specific condition be imposed in order to have additional handicapped spaces based on the number of units.

MR. GENZER clarified that the application indicates 270 units. However, the actual number that has been agreed upon through working with Planning staff and Neighborhood Services is 282 units, including the six-live/work lofts.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 - Z-0100-64(176)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN BROWN commented that the number of handicapped accessible spaces remain a priority, as far as the tenant base, even if that number goes from two to four to eight and have the more able vehicular traffic find other parking opportunities.

MR. NEWBURN discussed with MAYOR GOODMAN that construction would start in mid June.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See additional related discussion under Item 130 [U-0195-00] and Item 131 [VAC-0037-00].

(3:19 – 3:46)
5-3047/6-1

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Approval by City Council of Special Use Permit (U-0195-00) and Vacation (VAC-0037-00), and conformance to all Conditions of Approval.
2. Improvements along the Las Vegas Boulevard and Hoover Avenue site frontages shall be constructed in compliance with the applicable Downtown Las Vegas Streetscape Standards. The applicant shall work with the Redevelopment Agency, Planning and Development and Public Works staff to obtain the necessary permits from the Nevada Department of Transportation and other permitting entities as necessary. The mechanism for completing the required right-of-way improvements will be developed by the applicant and City staff in concert, and may include formation of an Improvement District or similar process for area-wide participation.

Public Works

3. A Reversionary Map shall be recorded prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits.
4. An application to vacate the existing “public sewer easement” on this site, such as VAC-0037-00, must be approved and the Order of Vacation recorded prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. A sanitary sewer relocation plan must be

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 – Z-0100-64(176)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

- submitted to and approved by the City Engineer prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation; comply with all recommendations of the approved plan. Provide public sewer easements for all public sewers not located within existing public street right-of-way and construct all required improvements necessary to relocate the existing public sewer prior to the recordation of an Order of Vacation. Also, continuous sewer service to upstream parcels shall be maintained at all times.
5. Dedicate an additional 5 feet of right-of-way for a total half-street width of 45 feet on Las Vegas Boulevard South adjacent to this site. Also dedicate a 10-foot radius on the southwest corner of Hoover Avenue and Las Vegas Boulevard and a 10-foot radius on the southeast corner of Hoover Avenue and 4th Street.
 6. Remove all substandard public street improvements, alley improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
 7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
 8. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1, #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site; if any, dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 132 – Z-0100-64(176)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

9. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on 4th Street, Hoover Avenue, and Las Vegas Boulevard adjacent to this site.
10. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the 4th Street, and Hoover Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.
11. Submit an application for an Occupancy Permit for all landscaping and private improvements in the Las Vegas Boulevard public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to the issuance of any permits.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: **ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SPECIAL USE PERMIT - PUBLIC HEARING - U-0139-00 - AMERICAN STORES PROPERTIES, INC., ON BEHALF OF ALBERTSON'S - Request for a Special Use Permit FOR THE SALE OF BEER AND WINE FOR OFF-PREMISE CONSUMPTION on the southeast corner of Bonanza Road and Lamb Boulevard (APN's: 140-32-101-001 and 002), C-1 (Limited Commercial) Zone, Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with M. McDONALD not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY BOB GRONAUER, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, stated that the request is for an Albertson's express to be located on the corner of Bonanza Road and Lamb Boulevard within an Albertson's shopping center. He asked the Council to follow staff's recommendation of approval.

COUNCILMAN REESE explained that while this application was going through the process, an application was filed for a daycare center to the west across Lamb Boulevard on Bonanza Road located next door to an existing Circle K selling beer and wine. He confirmed that a records

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 133 – U-0139-00

MINUTES – Continued:

check verified that the City has approved applications in the past under these circumstances, despite the issue with the 400-foot distance separation requirement. However, he wanted it stressed for the record that the justification for this approval was that both applications were in the system at the same time. There is no precedent for childcare centers adjacent to beer- and wine-selling establishments. Anyone making such a request based on this Council action should understand that the Council will not make exceptions without this type of extraordinary circumstance.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:46 – 3:49)

6-546

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Prior to obtaining a building permit, submit documentation regarding the recordation of adjusted parcel lines to Planning and Development Department staff.
2. Approval of this Special Use Permit does not constitute approval of a liquor license.
3. This business shall operate in conformance to Chapter 6.50 of the City of Las Vegas Municipal Code.
4. If this Special Use Permit is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Special Use Permit shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
5. All City Code requirements and all City Departments' design standards shall be met.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0106-00 - MICHELAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre) of 20 Acres on the southeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-08-101-001), PROPOSED USE: 159-LOT SINGLE-FAMILY SUBDIVISION, Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="2"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 134 [Z-0106-00] and Item 135 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 5/2/2001, Item 138 [Z-0115-00] to 4/4/2001 and Item 142 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 143 [Z-0126-00] to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Astoria Homes for Item 134 [Z-0106-00] and Item 135 [Z-0106-00(1)]. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO explained that COUNCILMAN MACK requested that these items be held in abeyance to give the applicant an opportunity to meet with the adjacent neighbors to discuss their concerns and make substantial revisions to the plans. Holding these items to May 2, 2001 will give the applicant enough time to submit the plans to the Planning staff and be prepared to make a presentation to the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 134 – Z-0106-00

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(2:01 – 2:03)

5-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

ABEYANCE ITEM - SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0106-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0106-00(1) - MICHELAS, LIMITED LIABILITY COMPANY** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 159-LOT, SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL SUBDIVISION on the southeast corner of Iron Mountain Road and Fort Apache Road (APN: 125-08-101-001), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone PROPOSED: R-PD8 (Residential Planned Development - 8 Units Per Acre), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	2
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-1-2 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 134 [Z-0106-00] and Item 135 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 5/2/2001, Item 138 [Z-0115-00] to 4/4/2001 and Item 142 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 143 [Z-0126-00] to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

ATTORNEY MARK FIORENTINO, Kummer, Kaempfer, Bonner & Renshaw, 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, appeared on behalf of Astoria Homes for Item 134 [Z-0106-00] and Item 135 [Z-0106-00(1)]. ATTORNEY FIORENTINO explained that COUNCILMAN MACK requested that these items be held in abeyance to give the applicant an opportunity to meet with the adjacent neighbors to discuss their concerns and make substantial revisions to the plans. Holding these items to May 2, 2001, will give the applicant enough time to submit the plans to the Planning staff and be prepared to make a presentation to the City Council.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 135 – Z-0106-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

There was no further discussion.

(2:01 – 2:03)

5-1

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001****DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT****DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0113-00 - ELECTRICAL & UTILITY WORKERS REAL ESTATE CORPORATION - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on the southeast corner of Leigon Way & Harris Avenue (APN's: 140-30-803-001 and 003), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY PAUL COLTON, Schreck, Brignone, Godgrey, 300 South 4th Street, appeared together with PHILIP CAMPBELL, Plumbers & Pipefitters Local Union, 750 North Lamb Boulevard. ATTORNEY COLTON concurred with staff's conditions for both Item 136 [Z-0013-00] and Item 137 [Z-0113-00(1)].

COUNCILMAN REESE discussed with MR. CAMPBELL that staff's recommendation for denial of the site design review is because he requested an exit on Leigon Way rather than Harris Avenue. MR. CAMPBELL replied that there are two main reasons for requesting access on Leigon Way. First, the company is planning to build a new facility as soon as they acquire the

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0113-00

MINUTES – Continued:

property. He also stated that Planning staff was confused in thinking that the Union and Training Trust are the same entity. They are two separate entities and Planning staff recommended that there be no access off of Leigon Way and that the Union provide an easement through the existing Harris Avenue. Unfortunately, that would preclude the Union from ever doing anything with this property. The existing building is 40 years old and is already too small for the staff. Secondly, traffic has increased on Leigon Way because people are bypassing the Bonanza Road and Lamb Boulevard turn to go onto Lamb Boulevard and turn into their facility and then go down Leigon Way and onto Harris Avenue. Having an access off of Leigon Way would alleviate some of that traffic.

MR. CAMPBELL further added that he met with 25 neighbors closest to the facility on Leigon Way who are excited that something will be happening at this location. He gave them a pamphlet describing what the company does; provide vocational training for its members, apprentices and journeymen, and a letter explaining the company's future plans to purchase the property and build a larger facility, at the same time beautify the property.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 137 [Z-0113-00(1)] for additional related discussion.

(3:49 – 3:56)

6-636

CONDITIONS:

Public Works

1. Construct all incomplete half-street improvements on Leigon Way and Harris Street adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site.
2. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
3. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0113-00

CONDITIONS - Continued:

The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1, #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site; if any, dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

4. Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible for contributing monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

Standard Conditions

5. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 136 – Z-0113-00

CONDITIONS - Continued:

7. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
8. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
9. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRAURY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: **ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO Z-0113-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0113-00(1) - ELECTRICAL & UTILITY WORKERS REAL ESTATE CORPORATION** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 33-SPACE PARKING LOT on the east side of Leigon Way, approximately 450 feet south of Harris Avenue (APN's: 140-30-803-001 and 003), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, PROPOSED: C-1 (Limited Commercial), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-1-1 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions and the following added condition:

- **If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the City Council approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.**

– UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

ATTORNEY PAUL COLTON, Schreck, Brignone, Godfrey, 300 South 4th Street, appeared together with PHILIP CAMPBELL, Plumbers & Pipefitters Local Union, 750 North Lamb Boulevard.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0113-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE stated that this project would not negatively impact the neighborhood and that the residents that he has spoken with are interested in seeing this project.

ANDY REED, Planning & Development, read into the record an added condition and ATTORNEY COLTON concurred.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See additional related discussion under Item 136 [Z-0113-00].

(3:49 – 3:56)

6-636

CONDITIONS:

Public Works

1. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

Standard Conditions

2. All development shall be in conformance with the Site Development plan.
3. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be provided as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. For non-residential developments, failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
4. A landscaping plan must be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit or license, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.
5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single-family development).

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 137 – Z-0113-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

6. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.
7. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
8. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
9. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR:** ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ CONSENT ☒ DISCUSSION**SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0115-00 - JOSEPH & SALLY WARPINSKI ON BEHALF OF NEVADA LANDSCAPE CORPORATION - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) and C-2 (General Commercial) TO: C-2 (General Commercial); and a request for a Site Development Plan Review and a Waiver of the required perimeter landscaping FOR A PROPOSED LANDSCAPE MATERIAL YARD at 5232 Ricky Road (APN: 138-12-710-052), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	123
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-0-1 vote) recommends DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 134 [Z-0106-00] and Item 135 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 5/2/2001, Item 138 [Z-0115-00] to 4/4/2001 and Item 142 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 143 [Z-0126-00] to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(2:01 – 2:03)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

REZONING - PUBLIC HEARING - Z-0117-00 - INTERNATIONAL BENEVOLENT PROTECTED ORDER ELKS OF THE WORLD - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-3 (Medium Density Residential) TO: C-1 (Limited Commercial) on the northeast corner of the intersection of H Street and Jackson Avenue (APN's: 139-27-110-066 and 069), PROPOSED USE: ELKS LODGE, Ward 5 (Weekly). The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	4
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (5-1 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

WEEKLY – ABEYANCE to 3/21/2001 - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

YVETTE JACKSON HUCKS, 4920 E. Huma Avenue, appeared to speak on this item because CHARLES BARBER, overseer of the project, was not able to attend.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, commented that at the Planning Commission meeting, the applicant had indicated he would apply texture to the building that resembles stucco. MR. FARLOW pointed out that when the Carpenters Union erected a metal building on Bonanza Road and Lamb Boulevard, the residents requested that it be textured. However, research studies revealed that the texture would not bond permanently to a metal building in this environment and

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – Z-0117-00

MINUTES – Continued:

that it would require continued maintenance. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY informed MR. FARLOW that that issue is not being addressed at this time. His concern at this time is that this property was given to the Elks as a trade off by the the City of Las Vegas and were grandfathered in to this property. He asked staff for that history. However, ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning & Development, replied that staff is not aware of that issue.

COUNCILMAN REESE explained that when this property was located in Ward 3, through Business Development, it was agreed to give this piece of property to the Elks so that the building located on Parcel B could be demolished. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY thanked COUNCILMAN REESE for the explanation because he received concerns regarding this issue from the Walker African American Museum, as well as opposition from the community. He questioned whether this type of development is cohesive to the adjacent neighborhood. COUNCILMAN REESE noted that clarification could be obtained from DAVE OKA, Office of Business Development, on when and why.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY pointed out that the Elks, who have been in this community for a long time, are being put in a tough position as they move forward with their project, especially since the community expressed opposition.

BEATRICE TURNER, citizen of Las Vegas, stated that the only person opposed to this project is the owner of the museum because at one time the property was promised to that owner in order to enlarge the museum. MS. TURNER further stated that the neighborhood is not opposed to the project and that the owner of the museum does not live in that community. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY replied that all faxes and telephone calls expressing concerns about any application are always addressed.

MS. HUCKS explained that her family has had property on Jackson Street for the last 50 years and that the Elks Lodge is the most positive thing at this location. When the City gave the Elks that property, they were under the impression that it was given to them in order to enhance the community.

COUNCILMAN BROWN asked whether there is a way of rezoning the property to C-1 and making it specifically for the Elks use only so that a commercial designation is not opened inadvertently. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY replied that it would be fair for the residential area and that the Elks would not object. If the Elks decide not to move forward, it can revert back to R-1.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – Z-0117-00

MINUTES – Continued:

MS. HUCKS indicated that Jackson Avenue is sparsely residential and asked whether this area was ever zoned commercial. COUNCILMAN WEEKLY clarified that the area is currently zoned R-1, residential.

ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning & Development, in reply to COUNCILMAN BROWN's question stated that in the past a condition has been added to certain applications when this type of issue has come up requiring the applicant put a deed restriction on the property in the agreement. He stated that the site plan review on this particular site has been approved by the Planning Commission and will be heard by the City Council on 3/21/01. He further stated that the applicant cooperated with staff to the point where he has actually reduced the size of the proposed building in order to provide enough parking on the site no longer requiring any variances. DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY BRYAN SCOTT concurred with MR. GENZER's statement. However, he believes that it has to be clearly drafted so that it will do exactly what it is intended. He would be willing to work with the applicant regarding this issue.

COUNCILMAN McDONALD suggested that if the Elks ever decide to move from this property, any application on the property will need to come back before the City Council for approval.

MAYOR GOODMAN asked MS. HUCKS whether she is able to concur with such a condition. MS. JACKSON replied that she was not in a position to agree because it would preclude them from being able to sell the building and move to another location.

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY requested that the item be held in abeyance and DEPUTY CITY ATTORNEY SCOTT asked that MR. BARBER be present so that he could concur with whatever conditions may be imposed. MR. GENZER recommended that the item be held to the 3/21/01 City Council meeting so that the zone change and the site plan review could be placed on the same agenda.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

(3:56 – 4:12)

6-896

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – Z-0117-00

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved by the Planning and Development Department prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.

Public Works

3. This site shall be redesigned to eliminate parking lot encroachment into existing public rights-of-way on Van Buren Avenue. No portion of public right-of-way may be used to fulfill on-site parking requirements.
4. A Reversionary Map shall record prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits.
5. Remove all substandard public street improvements, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards concurrent with on-site development activities.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1, #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site; if any, dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 139 – Z-0117-00

CONDITIONS - Continued:

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

7. Landscape and maintain all unimproved right-of-way on Van Buren Avenue adjacent to this site.
8. Submit an Encroachment Agreement for all landscaping and private improvements located in the Van Buren Avenue public right-of-way adjacent to this site prior to occupancy of this site.

Standard Conditions

9. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be screened from view from the abutting streets (excluding single family development).
10. All City Code requirements and design standards of all City Departments must be satisfied.
11. A fully operational fire protection system, including fire apparatus roads, fire hydrants and water supply, shall be installed and shall be functioning prior to construction of any combustible structures.
12. Where new water mains are extended along streets and fire hydrants are not needed for protection of structures, hydrants shall be spaced at a maximum distance of 1,000 feet.
13. Provide plans showing accessible exterior routes from public transportation stops, accessible parking, passenger loading zones and public sidewalks to the accessible building entrance(s) with submittal of plans for building permits as required by the Department of Building and Safety. Accessible routes shall have running slopes and cross slopes in accordance with the applicable code.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - GPA-0035-00 - SCHNIPPEL FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, ET AL ON BEHALF OF NEVADA H.A.N.D. -
Request to Amend a portion of the Southeast Sector of the General Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) and ML (Medium-Low Density Residential) TO: H (High Density Residential) of 3.78 Acres on the north side of Bonanza Road, approximately 1,000 feet east of Sandhill Road (APN's: 140-30-802-001 and 002), Ward 3 (Reese). Staff recommends DENIAL, with a recommendation of Approval of an amended request to M (Medium Density Residential). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL with an amendment to M (Medium Density Residential)

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	3
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	42
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Staff recommends DENIAL, with a recommendation of Approval of an amended request to M (Medium Density Residential). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to condition – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB FEIBLEMAN, Nevada H.A.N.D., 2459 East Chandler Avenue, concurred with staff's conditions.

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, appeared in support of the application.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 140 – GPA-0035-00

MINUTES – Continued:

COUNCILMAN REESE asked staff to explain their recommendation for denial. ANDY REED, Planning & Development Department, replied that the applicant originally applied for a general plan designation of H (High Density Residential). Upon reviewing the application, it was determined that the applicant can develop the proposed project with a designation of M (Medium Density Residential). Therefore staff's recommendation was to deny the H, but with an approval of M. MR. FEIBLEMAN concurred.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 140 [GPA-0035-00] and Item 141 [Z-0111-00] was held under Item 140 [GPA-0035-00].

(4:12 – 4:15)

6-1452

CONDITIONS:

1. The application shall be amended to M (Medium Density Residential).

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: **ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0035-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0111-00** - **SCHNIPPEL FAMILY LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, ET AL ON BEHALF OF NEVADA H.A.N.D.** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to C-1 (Limited Commercial) and R-E (Residence Estates) under Resolution of Intent to C-2 (General Commercial) TO: R-PD20 (Residential Planned Development - 20 Units per Acre); and a request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A 72 UNIT SENIOR APARTMENT COMPLEX on 3.78 Acres on the north side of Bonanza Road, approximately 1,000 feet east of Sandhill Road (APN's: 140-30-802-001 and 002), Ward 3 (Reese). The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (6-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

BOB FEIBLEMAN, Nevada H.A.N.D., 2459 East Chandler Avenue, concurred with staff's conditions.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 141 – Z-0111-00

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 140 [GPA-0035-00] and Item 141 [Z-0111-00] was held under Item 140 [GPA-0035-00].

(4:12 – 4:15)

6-1452

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review shall be required for any development on this site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Tulley Avenue, 30 feet for Lincoln Avenue including appropriate additional right-of-way for the west half of a cul-de-sac bulb at the south terminus of Lincoln Avenue, and a 15 foot radius on the southwest corner of Tulley Avenue and Lincoln Avenue prior to the issuance of any permits for this site.
4. Grant a 10 foot wide public sewer easement over the existing public sewer line in the Lincoln Road alignment at the southeast corner of this site prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits.
5. Construct half-street improvements on Tulley Avenue and Lincoln Avenue adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Also, construct all incomplete half-street improvements (sidewalk) on Bonanza Road adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
6. Remove all substandard public improvements and unused driveway cuts, if any, adjacent to this site and replace with new improvements meeting current City standards concurrent with development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 141 – Z-0111-00

CONDITIONS - Continued:

7. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Gated access driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
8. The design and layout of all onsite private circulation and access drives shall meet the approval of the Department of Fire Services prior to the issuance of any permits.
9. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1, #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site; if any, dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works.

10. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 141 – Z-0111-00

CONDITIONS - Continued:

neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ CONSENT ☒ DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0036-00 - SAHARA WESTLAKE ASSOCIATES** - Request to amend a portion of the Southeast Sector Map of the General Plan FROM: SC (Service Commercial) TO: GC (General Commercial) on the south side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 330 feet west of Arville Street (APN's: 162-07-101-008, 009 and 010), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends DENIAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) failed to obtain a super-majority which is tantamount to DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	108
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 134 [Z-0106-00] and Item 135 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 5/2/2001, Item 138 [Z-0115-00] to 4/4/2001 and Item 142 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 143 [Z-0126-00] to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(2:01 – 2:03)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: **ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0036-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0112-00 - SAHARA WESTLAKE ASSOCIATES** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: C-1 (Limited Commercial) TO: C-2 (General Commercial) on the south side of Sahara Avenue, approximately 330 feet west of Arville Street (APN's: 162-07-101-008, 009 and 010), PROPOSED USE: NEW MOTORCYCLE DEALERSHIP, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	108
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend DENIAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

REESE – Motion to bring forward and HOLD IN ABEYANCE Item 134 [Z-0106-00] and Item 135 [Z-0106-00(1)] to 5/2/2001, Item 138 [Z-0115-00] to 4/4/2001 and Item 142 [GPA-0036-00] and Item 143 [Z-0126-00] to 3/7/2001 – UNANIMOUS

There was no discussion.

(2:01 – 2:03)

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0041-00 - CITY OF LAS VEGAS** - Request to Amend a portion of the Northwest Sector Map of the General Plan From: P (Park) To: PF (Public Facility) on 12.1 acres on the northwest corner of the intersection of Whispering Sands Drive and Thom Boulevard (APN: 125-13-501-004), Ward 6 (Mack). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (3-0 vote) failed to obtain a super-majority which is tantamount to DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	1
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

Due to the failure of the Planning Commission to establish a super-majority vote (3-0 on a recommendation for approval), this item is being forwarded to City Council without a recommendation. Staff recommends APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report

MOTION:**MACK - APPROVED – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting****MINUTES:**

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Avenue, and ARCHITECT JOHN LOPEMAN, JMA Architects, were present. MR. LaCROIX stated that the proposed school would be located on Whispering Sands Drive. This 1998 prototype is situated to alleviate the 1345 students attending Betsy Rhodes Elementary School. The new Howard E. Hacktorn school is greatly needed and is scheduled to open the first quarter of 2002 once construction starts within the next few months. MR. LaCROIX concurred with staff's conditions.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 144 – GPA-0041-00

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN MACK thanked MR. LaCROIX for keeping the line of communication open between the School District and the City. The Betsy Rhodes Elementary students are quite eager to see this new school open.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See additional related discussion under Item 145 [Z-0109-00] and Item 146 [Z-0109-00(1)].

(4:15 – 4:21)

6-1605

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0041-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0109-00 - CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-V (Civic) on 37.79 acres located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Whispering Sands Drive and the Thom Boulevard alignment (APN: 125-13-501-003 and 004), PROPOSED USE: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, FIRE STATION, AND CITY PARK, Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions – **UNANIMOUS** with **WEEKLY** not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Avenue, and ARCHITECT JOHN LOPEMAN, JMA Architects, were present.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 145 – Z-0109-00

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: See Item 144 [GPA-0041-00] and Item 146 [Z-0109-00(1)] for additional related discussion.

(4:15 – 4:21)

6-1605

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review shall be approved prior to the issuance of any permits, any site grading, and all development activity on this site.

Public Works

3. Dedicate 30 feet of right-of-way adjacent to this site for Whispering Sands Drive upon acquisition of title to this site.
4. Construct half-street improvements including appropriate overpaving on Whispering Sands Drive adjacent to this site concurrent with development of this site. Install all appurtenant underground facilities, if any, adjacent to this site needed for the future traffic signal system concurrent with development of this site. All existing paving damaged or removed by this development shall be restored at its original location and to its original width concurrent with development of this site.
5. Provide a minimum of two lanes of paved, legal access to this site along a logical route prior to occupancy of this site.
6. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits for this site. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1 #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site, if any; dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 145 – Z-0109-00

CONDITIONS - Continued:

specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. If additional rights-of-way are not required and Traffic Control devices are or may be proposed at this site outside of the public right-of-way, all necessary easements for the location and/or access of such devices shall be granted prior to the issuance of permits for this site. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

7. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0041-00 & Z-0109-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0109-00(1) - CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 62,500 SQUARE FOOT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL on 12.1 acres located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Whispering Sands Drive and the Thom Boulevard alignment (APN: 125-13-501-004), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone, [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 6 (Mack). The Planning Commission (3-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (3-0 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

MACK - APPROVED subject to conditions – UNANIMOUS with WEEKLY not voting

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Avenue, and ARCHITECT JOHN LOPEMAN, JMA Architects, were present.

COUNCILMAN MACK pointed out that this application will be the third non-conforming use approved in Ward 6; two schools and one fire station.

No one appeared in opposition.

There was no further discussion.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 146 – Z-0109-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: See Item 144 [GPA-0041-00] and Item 145 [Z-0109-00] for additional related discussion.

(4:15 – 4:21)
6-1605

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. City Council approval of Rezoning to a C-V (Civic) Zoning District.
2. If this Site Development Plan Review is not exercised within two years of the approval, this Site Development Plan Review shall be void unless an Extension of Time is granted.
3. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 15 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights.
4. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
5. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
6. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner.

Public Works

7. This site plan shall be redesigned to eliminate Thom Boulevard as a public street adjacent to the east edge of this site. If access to the Thom Boulevard alignment is desirable to the applicant, it shall be as a private roadway located completely west of the centerline of the Thom Boulevard alignment. This condition shall not be enforced if the applicant provides proof that the previously approved residential subdivision to the east is being redesigned to accommodate a public street for Thom Boulevard. If such proof is provided, the applicant shall dedicate and construct appropriate improvements for Thom Boulevard in accordance with the approved site plan.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 146 – Z-0109-00(1)

CONDITIONS – Continued:

8. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.
9. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Z-109-00 and all other site-related actions.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT**DIRECTOR:** **ROBERT GENZER, ACTING** ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION****SUBJECT:**

GENERAL PLAN AMENDMENT - PUBLIC HEARING - **GPA-0042-00 – CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request to Amend a portion of the Southwest Sector of the General Plan FROM: P (Park) TO: PF (Public Facility) and FROM: PF (Public Facility) TO: P (Park) of 11.4 Acres on the northwest corner of Hyde Avenue and Torrey Pines Drive, (APN's: 138-35-111-002 and 003), Ward 1 (M. McDonald). Staff recommends APPROVAL. The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) failed to obtain a super-majority which is tantamount to DENIAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	0
Hearing Officer Meeting	
City Council Meeting	0

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2) failed to obtain a super majority vote which is tantamount to DENIAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application - Not Applicable
3. Staff Report
4. Submitted at meeting: Outline of resident's concerns and a petition with 48 signatures in opposition

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Avenue, and ARCHITECT JOHN LOPEMAN, JMA Architects, were present. MR. LaCROIX explained that a new prototype to replace the existing O.K. Adcock Elementary School is being developed at this location due to the U.S. 95 widening. He is aware that residents in this particular area have expressed concerns about the proposed project, especially regarding traffic safety.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 147 – GPA-0042-00

MINUTES - Continued:

MR. LOPEMAN pointed out on a map the location of the existing school and a City park that will be demolished to build the new school. MR. LaCROIX explained that the students will be moved into the new school, the existing school will be demolished and a new park will be built. The school will front Torrey Pines Drive and access to the site will be from Hyde Avenue, where the parents will come in a roundabout, drop off at the edge and come back out to Hyde Avenue. The playgrounds are situated in the back of the school where the teachers can observe the entire playground from one spot. The bus drop off will be on Hyde Avenue.

DANIELLE THORNE, 6216 Elton Avenue, President, Parents Teachers Organization (PTO) for O.K. Adcock Elementary School, submitted and reviewed part of written items of concern associated with the site plan for the school and park, along with a petition in opposition with 48 signatures. The written document and the petition were made a part of the minutes.

GENE LITTLEFORD, 409 Okum Circle, expressed concern regarding the location of the parking lot. Upon speaking with the Clark County School District, he was told that they have exhausted every plan they could to locate the parking further away from Torrey Pines Drive. The volume of traffic on Torrey Pines Drive has increased tremendously, creating a safety hazard for the pedestrian and vehicular traffic.

MARILYN EEN, 217 South Torrey Pines, discussed the remainder of the written items of concern associated with the site plan for the school and park. She added that it is disappointing that the City and the School District did not join to coordinate the redevelopment of the school in a way that would be functional and have useable area enhancing the neighborhood. MS. EEN stated that the construction of the new school would bring noise and dust to the existing school. This project stems from the widening of U.S. 95, something that the residents have been forced to accept because a new school is not needed. As a matter of fact, three years ago the school underwent rehabilitation and the children had to temporarily move to portables. The school district promised the residents a special floor plan due to the narrow site, but because of deadlines, either by the School District or the Nevada Department of Transportation (NDOT), it has not been done. Unfortunately that leaves the City and the neighborhood to bear the brunt of the burden of their choices.

LYNWOOD JOHNSON, 6613 Pepperidge Way, verified with MAYOR GOODMAN that the School District and the City would be reimbursed for the reconstruction of the school and the park.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 147 – GPA-0042-00

MINUTES - Continued:

MR. LaCROIX rebutted that the School District has not been irresponsible in developing this site and that other alternatives were reviewed. A new facility will be located next to an existing facility and the ball fields will be placed next to a planned park site that can be fully utilized by the residents of that area. The school district excels in opening up their facilities to the public.

MR. LOPEMAN commented that the site is narrow and the prototype being used is not specifically designed for this site. The site is situated in this manner in order that the school functions properly and the drop off will be in the front of the school. The parking has to be located in the front and the playground behind the school for proper supervision. There has to be a safe transition from car to building for the students. MR. LaCROIX added that they will comply with a traffic study and if necessary allocate funds for the placement of stop signs or any other type of infrastructure needed to address the safety of the children, which is their primary concern as well.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD asked O.C. WHITE, Traffic Engineer, Public Works, whether the flashing light zone currently situated for Garside Middle School on Torrey Pines Drive would be extended to incorporate the new O.K. Adcock Elementary School. MR. WHITE replied that one of the things the City is working with the School District is the area that needs to be re-set for slower traffic. The 15 mph school zone will be on Hyde Street along the entire frontage of the school. If a 15 mph sign is posted on Torrey Pines Drive, Public Works will be asking the School District for the flashing light during the time of day that children will be traveling to and from school.

MS. EEN asked whether the flashing light would be visible from the overpass. MR. WHITE replied that the flashing light would be high enough on the bridge so that before motorists get to the school they would know where the school zone starts. Public Works' only concern would be to synchronize the time for each school because the middle school starts at a different time than the elementary. Therefore, the flashing school zone would be in effect at different times during the day for each school.

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD commented that Ward 2 children also attend O.K. Adcock Elementary School and she has resided in this area for seven years and is familiar with pedestrian and vehicular traffic as it relates to the school. It is a real challenge for the School District to be able to keep an existing facility open, construct a new one and then move the children into the new facility and choreograph that to lessen the impact.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 147 – GPA-0042-00

MINUTES - Continued:

COUNCILMAN McDONALD stated that residents expressed concerns about pedestrian safety, speed limit and the need for additional crossing guards. There is a unique situation on Torrey Pines Drive where on one side of the street the speed limit is 25 mph and the other side is 35 mph. A flashing light would mitigate this issue and allow people to adjust their speed limit at the appropriate time. Due to the narrow lot size, the parking lot, the bus turn in and turn off could not be reconfigured in conjunction with the school and still provide the safety for a fire truck to be able to move around. There is also a commitment from the State and the City of Las Vegas to rebuild the park. He invited the community to participate, along with their children, to design the park. He is confident in the School District's commitment to make this project a success for everyone.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 148 [Z-0110-00] and Item 149 [Z-0110-00(1)] was held under Item 147 [GPA-0042-00].

(4:21 – 4:47)
6-1790

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ **CONSENT** ☒ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

REZONING RELATED TO GPA-0042-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0110-00 - CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Rezoning FROM: R-E (Residence Estates) TO: C-V (Civic) on approximately 13.5 acres located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Hyde Avenue and Torrey Pines Drive (APN: 138-35-111-002 and 003), PROPOSED USE: ELEMENTARY SCHOOL, Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Avenue, and ARCHITECT JOHN LOPEMAN, JMA Architects, were present.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 148 – Z-0110-00

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 147 [GPA-0042-00], Item 148 [Z-0110-00] and Item 149 [Z-0110-00(1)] was held under Item 147 [GPA-0042-00].

(4:21 – 4:47)

6-1790

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. A Resolution of Intent with a two-year time limit.
2. A Site Development Plan Review before the Planning Commission and City Council at a Public Hearing shall be required prior to any permits being issued for the site.

Public Works

3. Petition of Vacation VAC-0009-00 shall record prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits.
4. Remove all substandard public street improvements and unused driveway cuts adjacent to this site, if any, and replace with new improvements meeting current City Standards prior to occupancy of this site.
5. A Traffic Impact Analysis must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits. Comply with the recommendations of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis prior to occupancy of the site. The City shall determine area traffic mitigation contribution requirements based upon information provided in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis; such monies shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any permits for this site. The Traffic Impact Analysis shall also include a section addressing Standard Drawings #234.1, #234.2 and #234.3 to determine additional right-of-way requirements adjacent to this site; if any, dedicate all areas recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. All additional rights-of-way required by Standard Drawing #201.1 shall be dedicated prior to or concurrent with the commencement of on-site development activities unless specifically noted as not required in the approved Traffic Impact Analysis. No recommendation of the approved Traffic Impact Analysis, nor compliance therewith, shall be deemed to modify or eliminate any condition of approval imposed by the Planning Commission or the City Council on the development of this site.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 148 – Z-0110-00

CONDITIONS - Continued:

Alternatively, in lieu of a Traffic Impact Analysis, the applicant may participate in a reasonable alternative mutually acceptable to the applicant and the Department of Public Works. Phased compliance will be allowed if recommended by the approved Traffic Impact Analysis.

6. A Drainage Plan and Technical Drainage Study must be submitted to and approved by the Department of Public Works prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits for this site as required by the Department of Public Works. Provide and improve all drainageways recommended in the approved drainage plan/study. The developer of this site shall be responsible to contribute monies for the construction of neighborhood or local drainage improvements. The amount of such monies shall be determined by the approved Drainage Plan/Study and shall be contributed prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first. In lieu of monetary contributions, in whole or in part, the developer may agree to construct such drainage facility improvements as are recommended by the approved Drainage Plan/Study concurrent with development of this site if allowed by the Planning Engineer.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE - PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT

DIRECTOR: ROBERT GENZER, ACTING ☐ CONSENT ☒ DISCUSSION

SUBJECT:

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN REVIEW RELATED TO GPA-0042-00 & Z-0110-00 - PUBLIC HEARING - **Z-0110-00(1) – CLARK COUNTY SCHOOL DISTRICT** - Request for a Site Development Plan Review FOR A PROPOSED 62,500 SQUARE FOOT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL on approximately 13.5 acres located on the northwest corner of the intersection of Hyde Avenue and Torrey Pines Drive (APN: 138-35-111-002 and 003), R-E (Residence Estates) Zone [PROPOSED: C-V (Civic)], Ward 1 (M. McDonald). The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL

PROTESTS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

APPROVALS RECEIVED BEFORE:

Planning Commission Mtg.	<input type="text" value="0"/>
Hearing Officer Meeting	<input type="text"/>
City Council Meeting	<input type="text" value="0"/>

RECOMMENDATION:

The Planning Commission (4-0-2 vote) and staff recommend APPROVAL, subject to conditions.

BACKUP DOCUMENTATION:

1. Location Map
2. Conditions For This Application
3. Staff Report

MOTION:

M. McDONALD - APPROVED subject to conditions - UNANIMOUS

MINUTES:

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing open.

MATT LaCROIX, Clark County School District, 4212 Eucalyptus Avenue, and ARCHITECT JOHN LOPEMAN, JMA Architects, were present.

There was no further discussion.

MAYOR GOODMAN declared the Public Hearing closed.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 149 – Z-0110-00(1)

MINUTES – Continued:

NOTE: All related discussion for Item 147 [GPA-0042-00], Item 148 [Z-0110-00] and Item 149 [Z-0110-00(1)] was held under Item 147 [GPA-0042-00].

(4:21 – 4:47)

6-1790

CONDITIONS:

Planning and Development

1. All development shall be in conformance with the submitted site plan, landscape plans, and building elevations.
2. Wallpack lighting shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights on the proposed buildings. Lighting standards within the parking lots shall be no more than 15 feet in height and shall utilize ‘shoe-box’ fixtures and downward-directed lights.
3. All exterior lighting shall meet the standards of LVMC section 19A.08.060(C).
4. All mechanical equipment, air conditioners and trash areas shall be fully screened in views from the abutting streets.
5. Landscaping and a permanent underground sprinkler system shall be installed as required by the Planning Commission and shall be permanently maintained in a satisfactory manner. Failure to properly maintain required landscaping and underground sprinkler systems shall be cause for revocation of a business license.
6. A landscaping plan shall be submitted prior to or at the same time application is made for a building permit, or prior to occupancy, whichever occurs first.

Public Works

7. Site development to comply with all applicable Conditions of Approval for Z-110-00 and all other site-related actions as required by the Department of Public Works.
8. Provide proof, prior to the issuance of any building or grading permits, whichever may occur first, that the western embankment of the Torrey Pines Drive bridge will be eliminated and appropriate other improvements, such as a retaining wall, will be constructed prior to or concurrent with development of this school site. In addition, provide proof of legal right to use such public right-of-way for parking purposes. If such proof cannot be provided, the site must be redesigned to accommodate the existing embankment.

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Planning & Development Department
Item 149 – Z-0110-00(1)

CONDITIONS - Continued:

9. The existing bicycle path along the north edge of this site shall be relocated as necessary through this site to extend from Hyde Avenue to the northwest corner of this site to tie into the path west of this site. If the bicycle path cannot be relocated concurrent with other on-site development activities, because of uncertainties associated with the construction of the Nevada Department of Transportation US-95 widening project or for any other reason, the applicant shall contribute moneys in lieu of construction, or provide a written commitment on School District letterhead that guarantees the ultimate construction of the relocated bicycle path.
10. Meet with the Traffic Engineering Representative in Land Development for assistance in the possible redesign of the proposed driveway access, on site circulation and parking lot layout prior to the submittal of any construction plans or the issuance of any permits, whichever may occur first. Driveways shall be designed, located and constructed in accordance with Standard Drawing #222a.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

SET DATE ON ANY APPEALS FILED OR REQUIRED PUBLIC HEARINGS FROM THE CITY PLANNING COMMISSION AND HEARINGS OFFICER MEETINGS AND DANGEROUS BUILDINGS OR NUISANCE/LITTER ABATEMENTS

**DB 432 Bolsa Drive, NV 2901 Highland Drive, DB 1919 Fremont Street, TM-0066-00, U-0135-95(1), U-0137-95(1), U-0154-98 (2), U-0203-00, U-0204-00, U-0191-00, V-0061-79(9)–
3/7/2001 Agenda**

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

DEPARTMENT: CITY CLERK

DIRECTOR: BARBARA JO (RONI) RONEMUS ☐ **CONSENT** ☐ **DISCUSSION**

SUBJECT:

ADDENDUM:

None.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

CITIZENS PARTICIPATION:

Items raised under this portion of the City Council Agenda cannot be deliberated or acted upon until the notice provisions of the Open Meeting Law have been met. If you wish to speak on a matter not listed on the agenda, please step up to the podium and clearly state your name and address. In consideration of others, avoid repetition, and limit your comments to no more than three (3) minutes. To ensure all persons equal opportunity to speak, each subject matter will be limited to ten (10) minutes.

MINUTES:

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD wished her son, ADAM BOGGS McDONALD, a Happy 5th Birthday.

(4:47)

6-2990

MAYOR GOODMAN announced and congratulated MAYOR PRO TEM GARY REESE on his upcoming marriage that will take place on Friday, February 23, 2001 at 5:00 P.M.

(4:47 – 4:48)

6-2997

BEATRICE TURNER, West Las Vegas, brought forward concerns regarding morning agenda Item 71 [Day & Night Convenience Store]. The residents of this particular area have endured problems at this store for over three years. She asked that someone look into young people, 17 and 18 years of age, being allowed to play video poker and buy alcohol. She urged that the owner's liquor license be revoked.

(4:48 – 4:49)

6-3117

DOROTHY BARNES, 2575 Sherwood Avenue, Apartment #26A, brought forward concerns regarding organized programs and that she wants her name removed from their lists. Her rights as a citizen are continually being violated and feels that she is being victimized, as well as being overtaxed and discriminated against. Newcomers are being run out of the City of Las Vegas and have trouble finding reputable doctors.

(4:49 – 4:52)

6-3117

LAWRENCE T. INKATHA, 3675 South Rainbow Boulevard, President and Founder of the Inkatha Youth Foundation for the Arts, introduced LYNWOOD JOHNSON, 6613 Pepperidge Way, who distributed a pledge packet inviting MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMAN REESE to become his sponsors in the program at \$15.00 a month and to give 15 minutes of their

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001 Citizens Participation

MINUTES – Continued:

time per month for one year. Once a month he will send them a report, they can choose a gift from the enclosed Inkatha catalogue, and refer one person to him as a possible sponsor. If they agree to become his sponsor, he will work for all the youth in Las Vegas.

DEREK SMITH, 14 years old, gave COUNCILMAN McDONALD and COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD a pledge packet and asked them to become his sponsors.

DEVIN SMITH, 13 years old, asked DEPUTY CITY MANAGER DOUG SELBY and COUNCILMAN WEEKLY to become his sponsors. A pledge packet is made a part of the Final Minutes.

BRYANNA GIBSON asked CITY MANAGER VIRGINIA VALENTINE and COUNCILMAN BROWN to become her sponsors.

MR. LAWRENCE noted that KATINA HASSLE, 15 years old, was not present because she is currently in the hospital. He asked on her behalf that COUNCILMAN MACK and DEPUTY CITY MANAGER STEVE HOUCHENS become her sponsors. MR. LAWRENCE further invited the rest of the staff to become sponsors and that he will be inviting 1,000 citizens to become sponsors, as well. He submitted the following items for the record: 501(c)(3) Federal Tax Exemption form, Inkathat Foundation By-Laws, Nevada State Tax Exemption, and Clark County Charitable Solicitation Permit. MAYOR GOODMAN promised MR. JOHNSON that he would read the information submitted.

(4:52 – 4:56)

6-3233

REN REED, 1905 Cedar Avenue, indicated that she received a notice from the Planning Department about a project about a rescue mission and homeless shelter to be located at 2528 East Fremont. She is concerned that this project is not cohesive with the redevelopment and revitalization plans as set forth by MAYOR GOODMAN and the Council. Schools are located in this particular area and she feels that a rescue mission is not appropriate for this area. ANDY REED, Planning & Development, clarified for MAYOR GOODMAN and COUNCILMAN REESE that the Planning Commission will hear the request on March 8, 2001 and that the application was made by The Creations of Love Church.

(4:56 – 4:57)

6-3550

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001 CITIZENS PARTICIPATION

MINUTES – Continued:

TODD FARLOW, 240 North 19th Street, thanked the City Council for approving Item 77 from the morning agenda regarding the Mortgage Buydown Assistance for Home Ownership Downtown Las Vegas Project and expenditure of Redevelopment to Set Aside Housing funds in the amount of \$440,000. Additionally, he asked that pedestrian crossing be marked at 10th Street and Charleston Boulevard, on the north side. He further noted that guide wires around the Mesquite Trees along Alta Drive are biting into the bark and will kill the trees if they are not loosened.

(4:57 – 4:58)

6-3550

AL GALLEG0, citizen of Las Vegas, noted that there is no school track break location near his residence that his granddaughter can attend. His granddaughter goes to school in North Las Vegas because there is no elementary school in the Downtown area. He asked for the Council's help in establishing a track break program closer to his house. COUNCILMAN REESE replied that the closest track break would be opening near or about Cashman Field.

MR. GALLEG0 further stated that he is a big supporter of the City Council because they are doing a very good job, especially for bringing new projects downtown. However, he feels that the citizens are being denied the opportunity to speak during the City Council meetings. He believes that any item should be open for comments to the general public, because on many occasions they provide appropriate input. Denying citizens this right discourages them from getting involved.

(4:58 – 5:01)

6-3608

COUNCILMAN WEEKLY noted that Public Works informed him that the construction of H Street was to start on Friday, but due to an event scheduled for Friday to honor the passing of MOTHER WEBB, a long time resident of Las Vegas and a supporter of God in Christ community, the construction will commence next week.

(5:01 – 5:02)

6-3849

COUNCILMAN McDONALD remembered DALE EARNHARDT, who died February 18, 2001 in a crash at the Daytona 500. MR. EARNHARDT was instrumental in promoting racing in Las Vegas and when he was in town he was always available to give every last child his autograph. A legend was lost. COUNCILMAN McDONALD asked everyone to keep DALE EARNHARDT, his family, and his fans in their prayers.

(5:02 – 5:04)

6-3881/7-1

City of Las Vegas

CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF FEBRUARY 21, 2001
Citizen Participation

MINUTES - Continued:

ROBERT GENZER, Acting Director, Planning & Development, introduced to the City Council and audience JOHN KOSWAN, the new Current Planning Manager. He comes from the City and County of Denver where he was the Current Planning Manager for that entity. MR. KOSWAN indicated that he intended to retire in Las Vegas at the end of his career with the City of Denver. It is a great opportunity to have this position open at this time so that he can establish himself in this community.

(5:04 – 5:05)

7-164

THE MEETING ADJOURNED AT 5:05 P.M.

AGENDA SUMMARY PAGE
CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF: FEBRUARY 21, 2001

NOTES AND DIRECTIVES:

MAYOR GOODMAN pointed out that it is imperative that the City acquire the Fifth Street School in order to continue to redevelop and revitalize the downtown area, recognizing, of course, that the City is responsible in large part for Metro. He urged her to act with alacrity. (See Item No. 50)

(9:39 – 9:46)

1-1147

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD directed the City Manager's office to expedite the permitting process for the power companies. (See Item No. 63)

COUNCILMAN McDONALD directed the City Manager's office to have a member of staff present at the joint workshop. (See Item No. 63)

COUNCILMAN REESE directed ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER FRETWELL to closely monitor the proceedings at the legislature regarding deregulation, because much of what the City can do on this matter will depend on the actions taken at the legislature. (See Item No. 63)

(9:49 – 10:38)

1-1515/2-1

COUNCILWOMAN McDONALD requested information as to whether there are any services provided by Metro to local entities, other than the City or the County, because Metro receives funding from the City and County. MR. VINCENT said that it was previously represented to him that Metro would bill the other agencies for any services. CITY MANAGER VALENTINE noted that the Sheriff, as an elected official, is required to provide certain services Countywide. A list of those services would be forthcoming. (See Item No. 72)

(11:35 – 11:39)

2-2238

COUNCILMAN MACK directed MR. DiFIORE to confer with him on ways of raising the bar for sexually oriented businesses. (See Item No. 73)

(11:39 – 1:06)

2-2417/3-1